

## The Comparison of Self – Efficacy and Tolerance of Ambiguity in Team and Individual Athletes and Non – athletes

M. Narimani<sup>1</sup> (Ph.D)  
S. Ariapuran (M.A)  
*University of Mohaghegh Ardebili*

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study is to compare self – efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity in team and individual athletes and non – athletes. 116 participants (34 team athletes, 20 individual athletes, 62 non – athletes) were randomly selected. The instrument are self – efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity questionnaires. Statistical analysis of data indicated that there was not a significant difference among three groups in self – efficacy. But in tolerance of ambiguity there was a significant difference among the groups. In other words, tolerance of ambiguity in team athletes than in other groups. Also was showed a significant different between athletes in two groups with high and low tolerance of ambiguity in self – efficacy. Finding showed that there was a meaningful relation between self-efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity.

**Key words:**

Self – efficacy, Tolerance of ambiguity, Athletes , Students.

---

1 - Email : Narimani@uma.ac.ir

( , )

( )

( , )

( , )

( , )

( ) ,

( )

-

- 
- 1 - Mental Health
  - 2 - Thel weil & Greenles
  - 3 - Bandura
  - 4 - Feltz
  - 5 - Darrenl et al

( )

( )

- /

)

:

(

- 
- 1 - Miller & Carlyle
  - 2 - Tolerance of Ambiguity
  - 3 - Faxman
  - 4 - Cluster

( )

( )

( )

5

( )

( )

- 
- 1 - Macanala
  - 2 - Eys & Carron
  - 3 - Beaushamp, Bray & Albinson
  - 4 - Fielding

( )

( )

(N = )

(N = )

$$\frac{(\quad)}{(\quad) / (\quad)}$$

$$\left( \left( \quad \right) \left( \quad \right) \right) \left( \quad \right)$$

LSD

(

δ

LSD  
.( )

(P= / )

-

	f		df				
/ *	/	/		/			
		/		/			
		/		/			

(

(P= / )

.( )

-

	t	df	sd		N		
/ *	/		/ /	/ /			

$\delta$  (

$r = /$  .

.( ) /

-

* /	r = /	

(

.( ) (P= / )



-

	t	df	sd		N		
* /	/		/ /	/ /			

( )

δ

( )

δ

( )

( )

)

( ,

δ

( )

( )

( )

( )

"( )".

"

"( )".

"

"( )".

6. Bandura, A. (1997). "Self – efficacy toward unifying theory of behavioral change". *Psychological review*, 84, PP: 191-215.
7. Bandura, a. (1986). "Social foundations of thought and action". *A social cognition theory*. Engewood, cliffs, NJ: prentice – Hall.
8. Biochump, M.R., Bray, R.S., and Albinson, J.G. (2002). "Pre – competition imagery, self – efficacy and performance in colegiat golfers". *Journal of sport sciences*, 20, PP: 697-705.
9. Beauchamp, M.R. Bray, S.R., fiedling, A. and eys, M.A. (2005) "A multilevel psychology of the relationship between role ambiguity and rol efficacy in sport". *Psychology of sport and exercise*. PP: 289-302.
10. Darrenl, T, jeffrey, M and Cortl. L. (1996). "Relationship between self – efficacy wresting performance, and affect to competition". *Sport psychologist*, 10, PP:73-83.
11. Eys, M.A., carron, A.V. (2001). "Role ambiguity task cohesion and task self – efficacy in hockey". *Small group research* 32, PP: 356-373.
12. Feltz, D.L. (1988). "Gender differences in causal element of self – efficacy on a huge avoidance motor task". *Journal of sport and exercise psychology*, 10. PP:151-160.
13. Miller, M., carlyle, S. (1992). "The relationship between motivaton and self – efficacy in competitive athletes participating in swimming, ice hockey and basketball". *Journal of sport behavior*, 15, PP:243-249.
14. Thel well, R.C., and Greenles, L.A. (2003). "Developing competitive endurance performance using mental skills training". *The sport psychology*, 17, PP: 1318-337.