

---

\*

( / / : / / : )

*Dactylis glomerata* *Bromus tomentellus*

*Artemisia aucheri* *Salsola rigida*

*Coronilla varia* *Ferula ovina*

( )

*Coronilla varia* .(P < / )

واژه‌های کلیدی:



---

(

( )

.( )

‘

‘

( )

.( )

‘( )

( )

( )

( )

.( )

.( )

o

‘

“

o

‘

o

‘

“

o

‘

“

( )

‘

.( )

( )

.( )

( )

|

|

*Vicia*

*sativa*

) ADC

*Artemisia*

.( )

*Acantholimon festucacetum aucheri-*

*Astragalus gossypinum Agropyron tauri*

---

Vansoest et al

Moor & Hatfield

White

Caballero et al

Acid Dtergent Cellolosis

*Kochia prostrata, Bromus tomentellus, Poa bulbosa, Stipa barbata Festuca ovina, Psathyrostachys fragilis, Amygdalus lycioides, Salsola kali, Astragalus spp., Onopordon acanthium, Bromus dantoniae, Cotoneaster nummularia, Eurotia ceratoides, Phlomis orientalis, ...*

*Amygdalus scoparia – Ferula*

*persica*

*Salvia limbata, Bromus tectorum, Astragalus sp., Scariola orientalis, Melica persica, Eryngium compestre, Papaver rhoeas, Artemisia aucheri, Stachys inflata, Capparis spinosa, Coronilla varia*


*Dactylis glomerata*

*Festuca arundinacea, Sanguisorba minor, Phelomis orientalis, Verbascum sp., Achillea milliefolium, Stachys clinopodoides, Ferula ovina, Prangus ferulaceae, Allysum striogosum, Poa bulbosa,...*

*Salsola*

*Coronilla varia*


*rigida*




( $P < .$ )

( )

( )



( $P < ,$  )




( $P < ,$  )

*Dactylis glomerata*  
*Coronilla varia*

پس

*Dactylis glomerata* ( )  
( )



( $P < ,$  )





---

.( )

( )

( )  
TAC

( )

.( )

.( )

( )

*Vicia*

*sativa*

( )

*Sphaeralcea coccinea*

.( )

TNC

( )

( )

.( )

( )

.( )

---

Waller

Total Nonstructure Carbohydrate

---

Vallentine

...

( ) ( )

( )  
( )

TNC

*Bouteloua gracilis*

( )

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘( )

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

‘

(g/kg DM)

*Artemisia aucheri* *Salsola rigida* *Coronilla varia*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Ferula ovina* *Bromus tomentellus*,

/ ANWc	/ BNWc	/ CNWc	ANYc	/ BNYc	/ CNYc	/ ANXc	BNXc	/ CNXc	Da gl
/ ANWd	/ BNWd	/ CNWd	/ ANYd	/ BNYd	/ CNYd	ANXd	/ BNXd	/ CNXd	Br to
/ AMWb	/ BMWb	/ CMWb	/ AMYb	/ BMYb	/ CMYb	/ AMXb	/ BMXb	/ CMXb	Fe ov
/ AMWa	BMWa	/ CMWa	/ AMYa	/ BMYa	CMYa	/ AMXa	/ BMXa	/ CMXa	Co va
/ ANWc	/ BNWc	/ CNWc	/ ANYc	/ BNYc	/ CNYc	/ ANXc	/ BNXc	/ CNXc	Ar au
/ ANWe	/ BNWe	/ CNWe	/ ANYe	/ BNYe	/ CNYe	/ ANXe	/ BNXe	/ CNXe	Sa ri

a,b,c ..  
A,B,C  
M,N.  
X,Y,W

...

---

*Artemisia aucheri* Boiss .

( )

*Artemisia sieberi* Besser

(TNC)

5- Armstrong, D.G. and R.R Smithhard 1979, The Fate of Carbohydrates in the Small and Large intensives and the Ruminant, Proc. Nute. Soc. Pp; 38: 283-294

6- Arzani, H., M.Zohdi, E.Fisher, G.H.Zaheddi Amiri, A.Nikkhah and D.Wester, 2004. Phenological effects on Forage Quality of five grass species, Journal Range Management, 57: 624-630.

7- Briske,D.D And J.H. Richards 1994. Ecological implications of livestock herbivor in the West. Page: 147-176.

8- Brisk,D.D And J.H.Richards 1995. Wildland Plants: Physiological ecology and developmental morphology. Page: 635-710

9- Caballero, A. Rafael, C.Barro, C.Alzueta, and L.T.Ortiz. 1998. Aboveground Carbohydrate and nitrogen partitioning in Common Vetch During Seed Filling. Agronomy Journal. 90: 97-102

10- Caballero, R, Carmen Alzueta, Luis T.ortiz, Maria L,R, Carmen Barro and Almudenna Rebole. 2001. Carbohydrate and Protein Fraction of Fresh and Dried Common Vetch at Three Maturity Stage. Agronomy Journal. 93: 1006-1013

11- Caldwell, M.M., 1984. Plant requirements for prudent grazing, In NaH. Res. Council/ Natl.Acid.Sci. " Development Strategies Rangeland Management", Westview Press, Boulder, CO, pp.177-152

12- Cook, C. Wayne (1966). The Rool of carbohydrate Reserves in Managing Range Plants. Utha agric. Expt. Sta. Mimeo. Ser. 499. 11p.

13- Cook, C.W., 1971. Effecte of Season and Intensity of use on desert Vegetation, Utah Agric.Expt.Sta.Bul. pp: 483-570

14- Dubois, M,K.A.Gilles, J.K Hamilton, P.A. Rebers, And F.smith (1956). Colorimetric method for Determination of sugars and Related Substances. Anal. Chem 28: 350

15- Garrison, G.A. (1972). Physiology of shrubs: Carbohydrate Reserves and Response to use, USDA, For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-1, pp; 271-278.

16- Hall, M.B. 2003. Challenges with Nonfiber Carbohydrate Methods. J.Animal Sci., 81:3226-3232

17- Menke, J.W. and M.J. Trlica, 1983. Effects of Single and Sequential Defoliations on the Carbohydrate Reserves of four Range Species, J.Range Management, 36: 70-74.

- 
- 18- Menke, J,W and M.J.Trlica. 1981. Carbohydrate Reserve, Phenology, and Growth Cycles of Colorado Range Species.
- 19- Moore. K.J, R.D.Hatfield. 1994. Carbohydrates and Forage Quality. Forage Quality Evaluation and Utilization. Based on the National Conference on Forage Quality Evaluation and Utilization held at the university of Nebraska. Lincoln, on 13-15 April
- 20- Moser, L.E., 1986. How do Plant Respond to Grazing.In Patrick E.Reece and James T.Nichols (Eds). " Proceedings, The Ranch Management symposium, November 5-7, 1986, North platte, Nebraska". Unive.Neb, Agric.Ext.Serv.Lincoln., NE.PP: 19-26.
- 21- Smith. D.1975. Trend of carbohydrates in the Stem Bases of Switchgrass. J of Range Management 28: 5
- 22- Vallentine J.F,2001. Grazing Management, Academic Press, INC., New York .658p
- 23- Van Soest, P.J, J.B. Robertson. B.A. Lewis (1991) .Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber and Nonstarch Polysacchrides in relation to Animal Nutrition. J.Dairy Sci. 74: 3583-3597.
- 24- Waller, S.S., P.E.R, L.E. Moser, and G.A.Gates, 1985. " Understanding Grass Growth : The key to Profitable Livestock Production." Trabon Print.Co.,Kansas City, Mo. 20 pp
- 25- Walton,P.D. 1983, Production and Management of Cultivated Forages, Reston Publishing Company, Reston, 336p
- 26- White,L.M.1973. Carbohydrate Reserves of Grasses:A review.J.Range management. 38: 305-311

## Investigation on variation of soluble carbohydrates of some rangeland species in different phenological stages

H. Arzani<sup>\*1</sup>, Z. Ahmadi<sup>2</sup> and H. Azarnivand<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Asosociate Professor, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, I.R. Iran

<sup>2</sup> Instructor, Islamic Azad University of Azadshar, I.R. Iran

<sup>3</sup> Asistant Professor, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, I.R. Iran

(Received 3 January 2005, Accepted 26 September 2005)

### Abstract

Knowing the compounds that provide food reserves of plants is an important factor in range management. Perceiving how these compounds are produced and in which parts of plants are stored and what their concentration is helps determining the most suitable time for grazing, as well as the frequency and duration of grazing. The objective of this study was to examine to how factors such as being the member of a certain species, the phenological stage, plant parts and growth form affect soluble carbohydrates concentration. The six species studied were *Bromus tomentellus* and *Dactylis glomerata* as grass, *Frula ovina* and *Coronilla varia* as forb, *Salsola rigida* and *Artemisia aucheri* as shrob. Samples were collected from two highland ranges in Vard Avard and Gachsar regions. The samples were dried, ground and finally analyzed according to standard phenol-sulfuric acid method. The results showed statistically significant differences in soluble carbohydrate concentrations among the species in three stages of their growth. The soluble carbohydrate concentration were measured in different life forms and various plant parts including root, collar root and above ground ( $P < 0.01$ ). According to the results, highest soluble carbohydrate obtained from *Coronilla varia* in seeding stage. Among three life forms, forbs produce highest soluble carbohydrates with the concentration highest in above-ground part as compared to root and collar root.

**Key Words:** life forms, phenological stages, plant parts, soluble carbohydrate