# Complete Convergence and Some Maximal Inequalities for Weighted Sums of Random Variables

M. Amini,<sup>1,\*</sup> H.R. Nili Sani,<sup>2</sup> and A. Bozorgnia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran
<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Birjand, Birjand, Islamic Republic of Iran

# Abstract

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of arbitrary random variables with  $EX_n = 0$  and  $EX_n^2 < \infty$ , for every  $n \ge 1$  and  $\{a_{nk}\}$  be an array of real numbers. We will obtain two maximal inequalities for partial sums and weighted sums of random variables and also, we will prove complete convergence for weighted sums  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}X_j$ , under some conditions on  $a_{nj}$  and sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ .

Keywords: Complete convergence; Weighted sums; Maximal inequalities; Pair-wise negative dependence

## 1. Introduction

The concept of complete convergence of a sequence of random variables was introduced by Hsu and Robins [4], as follows. A sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  of random variables converges completely to a constant a (denoted  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = a$ , completely), if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|X_n - a| > \varepsilon] < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

From then on, there are many authors who devote the study to the complete convergence for partial sums and weighted sums of independent random variables such as Taylor [13], Hu *et al.* [6], Sung *et al.* [11], Weideng and Zhengran [15] and Sung [12]. Several authors extended this convergence to partial sums and weighted sums of negatively dependent and negatively associated random variables namely Liang and Su [10], Liang [9], Huang and Xu [5] and Amini, and Bozorgnia [1]. In this paper

first, we prove two maximal inequalities for partial sums and weighted sums of arbitrary random variables and then present various conditions on  $\{a_{nj}\}$  and  $\{X_n\}$  for which  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}X_j$  converges completely. In addition we consider  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  as a sequence of random variables with zero means such that

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_{n}| \ge x] \le M \int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{-\gamma t^{2}} dt, \qquad (1)$$

for all *n* and all  $x \ge 0$ , where *M* and  $\gamma$  are positive constants. Hanson and Wright [3], obtained a bound on tail probabilities for quadratic forms in independent random variables using condition (1). Wright [16] proved that the bound established by Hanson and Wright [3] for independent symmetric random variables also holds when the random variables are not symmetric but condition (1) is valid.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author, Tel.: +98(511)8828605, Fax: +98(511)8828605, E-mail: m-amini@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

**Definition.** ([2]) The sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  of random variables is said to be pair-wise negative dependent (PND) if for every  $x_i, x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$P[X_{i} \le x_{i}, X_{j} \le x_{j}] \le P[X_{i} \le x_{i}]P[X_{j} \le x_{j}]$$

**Lemma 1.** ([2]) If the sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  is PND, then

$$E(X_i, X_i) \le E(X_i).E(X_i)$$
 for all  $i \ne j$ 

**Lemma 2.** If  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , and *X* satisfies (1), then there exists  $\lambda$  such that

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}^2 \leq \lambda^2 \mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}^2 \, .$$

**Proof.** By condition (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{EX}^2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} 2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}[|\mathbf{X}| > \mathbf{x}] d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \mathbf{M} \int_0^{+\infty} 2\mathbf{x} \left( \int_{\mathbf{x}}^{+\infty} e^{-\gamma t^2} dt \right) \\ &= \mathbf{M} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{t}^2 e^{-\gamma t^2} dt \leq \lambda^2 E Z^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where } \frac{\sqrt{M} \sqrt[4]{\pi}}{2\gamma^{\frac{3}{4}}} \leq \lambda < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** ([8]) The sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  converges almost surely if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P[\sup_{k\geq 1}|X_{n+k} - X_n| > \varepsilon] = 0,$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** ([7]) If  $\{(X_n, F_n), n \ge 1\}$  is a nonnegative sub-martingale, then

$$E(\max_{0 \le k \le n} X_k)^p \le (\frac{p}{p-1})^p E X_n^p, when p > 1.$$

#### 2. The Maximal Inequalities

In this section, we prove two maximal inequalities and extend Kolomogorov's convergence criterion of strong law of large numbers and we obtain some other useful results.

Theorem 2. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables with  $E(X_n) = 0$ ,  $EX_n^2 < \infty$ ,

 $n \ge 1$ , then for the given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |\mathbf{S}_{k}| \ge \varepsilon] \le \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j})^{2}$$
(2)

Where 
$$S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$$
 and  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_i)}$ .

**Proof.** Set  $S_{1n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k^+$  and  $S_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k^-$ , where  $X^+ = \max\{0, X\}$  and  $X^- = \max\{0, -X\}$ . Since  $E[S_{1n} | F_{n-1} ] \ge S_{1(n-1)}$ , a.e. and  $E[S_{2n} | F_{n-1} ] \ge S_{2(n-1)}$ , a.e. hence the sequences  $\{S_{1n}, F_n, n \ge 1\}$  and  $\{S_{2n}, F_n, n \ge 1\}$  are nonnegative sub-martingales where  $F_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , where  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is the smallest sigma filed produced by  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then, we get by Markov's inequality and Theorem 1 for p = 2, that

$$P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} S_{1k} > \varepsilon] \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} E[\max_{1 \le k \le n} S_{1k}]^2$$
$$\le \frac{4 E S_{1n}^2}{\varepsilon^2} \le \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} (\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j)^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

the last inequality is true by the following statement

$$\operatorname{ES}_{\ln}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k}\right)^{2}.$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$P[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} S_{2k} > \varepsilon] \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} (\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j)^2 \quad .$$

Combining these two inequalities and  $|S_n| \le S_{1n} + S_{2n}$ , we obtain

$$P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |S_{k}| \ge \varepsilon] \le P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} S_{1k} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$$
$$+ P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} S_{2k} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$$
$$\le \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j})^{2} \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

The following corollary is an extension of Kolomogorov's convergence criterion of strong law of large numbers for arbitrary random variables.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be as in Theorem 2.

i) If 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$$
, then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ 

converges a.e.

ii) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{b_n} < \infty$ , then the following statements hold.

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \to 0 \quad a.e. \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
(3)

and

$$E\left(\sup_{n\geq 1}\frac{|S_n|}{b_n}\right)^{\beta} < \infty \quad for \ all \ 0 < \beta \le 2$$
(4)

where  $\{b_n\}$  is a sequence of positive increasing real numbers such that

 $b_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ 

## Proof.

i) By applying Lemma 2, Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, we have

$$P[\sup_{k\geq 1} |\mathbf{S}_{n+k} - \mathbf{S}_{n}| > \varepsilon] =$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P[\sup_{1 \leq k \leq m} |\mathbf{S}_{n+k} - \mathbf{S}_{n}| > \varepsilon]$$

$$\leq \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} (\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j})^{2}, \quad for \ all \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\sup_{k\geq 1} |\mathbf{S}_{n+k} - \mathbf{S}_n| > \varepsilon] = 0,$$

this completes the proof.

ii) Taking  $Y_n = \frac{X_n}{b_n}$ , we get (3) and (4) by

Keronecker's Lemma, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be as in Theorem 2.

i) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$ , then for the given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following statements hold

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha-2} P\left[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |S_k| > n^{\alpha} \varepsilon\right] < \infty,$$
(5)

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha-2} P\left[\sup_{k\geq n} \frac{|S_k|}{k^{\alpha}} > \varepsilon\right] < \infty,$$
(6)

ii) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j} \right)^{2} < \infty$ , for some  $\beta > 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \operatorname{P}[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |S_{k}| > n\varepsilon] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$
(7)

In particular, if  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j = O(n^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}})$ , for some  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , then we can obtain (5).

iii) If {X<sub>n</sub>} satisfies condition (1), then  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j = O(n), \text{ and for } 0 < \beta < 1, \text{ we have}$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j} \right)^{2} < \infty$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of random variables with  $E(X_n) = 0$ ,  $EX_n^2 < \infty$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Suppose that  $\{a_{nj}, 1 \le j \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be an array of real numbers, then

$$P[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |T_{k}| > \varepsilon] \le \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj}| \sigma_{j})^{2} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$
(8)

where  $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} X_k$ .

**Proof.** Set  $T_{1n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{nk}| X_k^+$  and  $T_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{nk}| X_k^-$ . Since  $E[T_{1n} | F_{n-1} ] \ge T_{1(n-1)}$ , a.e. and  $E[T_{2n} | F_{n-1} ] \ge T_{2(n-1)}$ , a.e. it follows that the sequences  $\{T_{1n}, F_n, n \ge 1\}$  and  $\{T_{2n}, F_n, n \ge 1\}$  are nonnegative sub-martingales, where  $F_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $|T_n| \le T_{1n} + T_{2n}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , the proof of (8) follows from the same argument as that in the proof of Theorem 2. Hence

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{P}[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |\mathsf{T}_{k}| \ge \varepsilon] \le \mathsf{P}[\max_{1 \le k \le n} \mathsf{T}_{1k} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \\ & + \mathsf{P}[\max_{1 \le k \le n} \mathsf{T}_{2k} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \\ & \le \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathsf{a}_{nj}| \sigma_{j})^{2} \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \end{split}$$

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  and  $\{a_{nj}\}$  be as in Theorem 3,

i) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj}| \sigma_j \right)^2 < \infty$ , for some  $\beta > 0$ , then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-2} P\left[\max_{1 \le k \le n} |T_k| > \varepsilon\right] < \infty \quad for all \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
 (9)

ii) If {X<sub>n</sub>} satisfies condition (1) and  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj}| = O(n^{\alpha/2})$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , then (9) holds.

## **3.**Complete Convergence for Weighted Sums

Using results of Section 2, we obtain complete convergence for weighted sums  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j}$  of random variables that satisfy (1) under some conditions on  $a_{nj}$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of random variables and  $\{a_{nj}, 1 \le j \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be an array of real numbers such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj} - a_{n(j+1)}| = O(n^{\beta}) \text{ for some } \beta > 0,$$

where  $a_{n(n+1)} = 0$ .

i) If  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  satisfies in condition (1), then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j} \to 0, \quad \text{completely as } n \to \infty.$$
 (10)

ii) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k} = O(n^{\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then (10) holds.

Proof. Using Abel's partial summation rule we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j} |\leq \max_{1\leq i\leq n} |S_{i}| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj} - a_{n(j+1)}|\right) \\ &\leq Cn^{-\beta} \max_{1\leq i\leq n} |S_{i}| \quad \text{for some} \quad \beta > 0. \end{aligned}$$
(11)

From Theorem 2, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n^{-\beta} \max_{1 \le i \le n} |S_i| > \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq \frac{32}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\beta} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^2 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$
(12)

Hence (11) and (12) yield

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j}| > \varepsilon] \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n^{-\beta} \max_{1 \le i \le n} |S_{i}| > \varepsilon)$$
$$\le \frac{32}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\beta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j}\right)^{2}.$$

i) Condition (1) implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\beta} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j} \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \lambda^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\beta-2}} < \infty \text{ for all } \beta > 3/2.$$
ii) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k} = O(n^{\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\beta} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j \right)^2$$
  
$$< M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\beta - 2\alpha}} < \infty \text{ for all } \beta > 1/2 + \alpha.$$

Where  $0 < M < \infty$ , this completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of random variables with zero means that satisfies (1) and  $\{a_{nj}\}$  be as in Theorem 4. Then, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}[|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{nj} X_{j}| > \varepsilon] \leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbf{a}_{nj}| \right)^{2} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Proof.** Applying Markov's inequality, Cauchy-Shwarz's inequality and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{P}[|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{nj} \mathbf{X}_{j}| > \varepsilon] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \mathsf{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{nj} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (|\mathbf{a}_{nj}|)^{2} \mathsf{E} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} |\mathbf{a}_{ni}| |\mathbf{a}_{nj}| \mathsf{E} |\mathbf{X}_{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}|\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (|\mathbf{a}_{nj}|)^{2} \mathsf{E} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} |\mathbf{a}_{ni}| |\mathbf{a}_{nj}| \sqrt{\mathsf{E} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{2} \mathsf{E} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{2}}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj}| |\sigma_{j}\right)^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{nj}| \right)^{2} \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{split}$$

Corollary 4. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  and  $\{a_{nj}\}$  be as in Theorem 5.

i) If 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{a}_{ni}| = O(1)$$
, then for all  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  
 $n^{-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{nj} \mathbf{X}_{j} \to 0$ , completely as  $n \to \infty$ .

ii) If  $\max_{1 \le i \le n} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{\frac{-\delta}{2}}), 0 < \delta < 2$ , then for all  $\beta > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ , we have  $n^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{i} \rightarrow 0$ , completely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$n^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{nj} X_j \to 0$$
, completely as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of PND random variables with zero means that satisfies (1). Let  $\{a_{nj}\}\$  be an array of positive real numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}^2 = O(n^{\delta})$ , for all  $\delta \ge 0$ . Then for all  $\beta > \frac{1+\delta}{2}$ , we have  $n^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_j \to 0$ , completely as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Proof.** By Markov's inequality, Lemmas 1 and 2, we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left[n^{-\beta} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j} \mid > \varepsilon\right] \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\beta} \varepsilon^{2}} E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj} X_{j}\right)^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\beta} \varepsilon^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}^{2} E X_{j}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{nj} a_{nj} E X_{i} E X_{j}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n^{2\beta} \varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n^{2\beta-\delta} \varepsilon^{2}} < \infty \quad for all \quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. Examples

In the following we have several examples that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, and Corollaries 1 and 2.

1. Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of arbitrary random variables.

i) If  $X_n \sim \exp(\lambda_n)$  for all  $n \ge 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} < \infty$ ,

then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  converges a.e. In particular if  $\lambda_n = n^{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  converges

a.e.

ii) Let 
$$P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}$$
 and  $P[X_n = \mp n] = \frac{1}{2n^{\alpha}}$   
for all  $\alpha > 4$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}} < \infty$ , it

follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  converges a.e. iii) If  $X_n \sim U(-a_n, a_n), 0 < a_n < 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  converges a.e. iv) Let  $X_n \sim \Gamma(m_0, n^{\alpha})$ , for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{n} < \infty$ , it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(X_{k} - EX_{k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$$

2. Let {X<sub>n</sub>} be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution U[0, 1]. Set Y<sub>n</sub> =  $\prod_{k=1}^{n} X_k$  and  $\sigma_n = \sqrt{Var(Y_n)}$ . It is obvious that  $EY_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$  and  $Var(Y_n) < \frac{1}{3^n}$ , for all  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$ , from Corollary 1.i, we conclude that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Y_n - EY_n)$ converges a.e. Next, note that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n < \infty$  a.e. hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}, \quad \text{converges a.e.}$$

Also, the conditions of Corollary 2.i are valid for the sequence  $\{Y_n\}$ . Thus (5) and (6) hold.

3. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of random variables with the probability function,

$$P[X_n = 0] = P[X_n = 2] = \frac{1}{2}$$
 for all  $n \ge 1$ .

It is obvious that conditions of Corollaries 1 and 2 are valid for the sequence  $\{\frac{X_n}{3^n}\}$ , hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n}{3^n}$ converges a.e. and the statements (5) and (6) are true.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that led to a remarkable improvement of the paper. Supported from "Ordered and Spatial Data Center of Excellence of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad" is acknowledged.

## References

- 1. Amini M. and Bozorgnia A. Complete convergence for ND random variables. *Journal of App. Math. and Stoch. Analysis.*, **16**(2): 121-126 (2003).
- Bozorgnia A., Patterson R.F., and Taylor R.L. Limit theorems for dependent random variables: World Congress Nonlinear Analysts'92. p. 1639-1650 (1996).
- 3. Hanson D.L. and Wright F.T. A bound for tail probabilities for quadratic forms in independent random variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **42**: 1079-1083 (1971).
- 4. Hsu P.L. and Robbins H. Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **33**: 25-31 (1947).
- Huang W.T. and Xu B. Some maximal inequalities and complete convergence of NA random sequences. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 57: 183-191 (2002).
- 6. Hu T.C., Szynal D., and Volodin A.I. A note on complete convergence for arrays. *Ibid.*, 38: 27-31 (1998).
- 7. Gut A. Probability: A Graduate Course. Springer, New

York (2005).

- 8. Karr F.A. *Probability*. Springer Verlage, New York (1993).
- Liang H.Y. Complete convergence for weighted sums of negatively dependent random variables. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 48: 317-325 (2000).
- Liang H.Y. and Su C. Complete convergence for weighted sums of NA sequences. *Ibid.*, 45: 85-95 (1999).
- Sung S.H., Volodin A.I., and Hu T.C. More on complete convergence for arrays. *Ibid.*, **71**: 303-311 (2005).
- 12. Sung S.H. Complete convergence for weighted sums of r.v.'s. *Ibid.*, **77**: 303-311 (2007).
- Taylor R.L. Complete convergence for weighted sums of array of random elements. J. Math. I & Math. Science., 6(1): 69-79 (1983).
- 14. Teicher H. Almost certain convergence in double array. *Z.Wahvch. Vern.Gebiete.*, **69**: 331-345 (1985).
- Weideng L. and Zhengran L. A supplement to the complete convergence. *Statistics & Probability Letters.*, 76: 748-754 (2006).
- Wright F.T. A bound on tail probabilities for quadratic forms in independent random variables whose distributions are not necessarily symmetric. *The Annals of Probability*, 1(6): 1068-1070 (1973).