Three-Dimensional Interfacial Green's Function for Exponentially Graded Transversely Isotropic Bi-Materials

Akbari, F.¹, Khojasteh, A.² and Rahimian, M.^{3*}

¹M.Sc., School of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

² Assistant Professor, School of Engineering Science, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

³ Professor, School of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 22 Feb. 2015; Revised: 25 Oct. 2015; Accepted: 28 Oct. 2015

ABSTRACT: By virtue of a complete set of two displacement potentials, an analytical derivation of the elastostatic Green's functions of an exponentially graded transversely isotropic bi-material full-space was presented. Three-dimensional point-load Green's functions for stresses and displacements were given in line-integral representations. The formulation included a complete set of transformed stress-potential and displacement-potential relations, with the utilization of Fourier series and Hankel transform. As illustrations, the present Green's functions were analytically degenerated into special cases, such as exponentially graded half-space and homogeneous full-space bi-material Green's functions. Owing to the complicated integrand functions, the integrals were evaluated numerically, and in computing the integrals numerically, a robust and effective methodology was laid out which provided the necessary account of the presence of singularities of integration. Some typical numerical examples were also illustrated to demonstrate the general features of the exponentially graded bi-material Green's functions which will be recognized by the effect of degree of variation of material properties.

Keywords: Bi-Material, Displacement Potential, Exponentially Graded, Functionally Graded Material, Green's Function, Transversely Isotropic.

INTRODUCTION

The continuous variation of the mechanical characteristics of functionally graded composite materials have broad application in industrial engineering, including thermal barriers, abradable seals, wear-resistant and oxidation resistant coatings, due to better residual stress distribution, thermal properties and greater fracture toughness. Also, functionally graded materials (FGMs) which possess the desired variation of material properties in spatial directions are widely used in different applications, such as aerospace and automobile industries (Eskandari and Shodja, 2010). For an inclusive review of the recent developments in the theory and application of FGMs, and

^{*} Corresponding author E-mail: rahimian@ut.ac.ir

the subjects of further research, one might refer to Birman and Byrd (2007). In addition to the manufactured functionally graded more deep composite materials, the understanding of the deposited soil and rock formations have attracted researchers to the area of analysis of stress transfer in this type of materials, and the effective utilization of their advantages. As many natural soils deposited through a period of time exhibit anisotropic and inhomogeneous the characteristics, a more precise modeling of soil medium with such behavior would be of considerable interest in foundation geomechanics, engineering and etc. However, among the different kinds of inhomogeneity, the exponential variation of the elasticity tensor is widely used for FGMs in the engineering literature and is evident in the list of references provided by Martin et al. (2002).

It was recognized that the performance of these materials was closely related to the effects occurring at the interface between their different components. Issues, such as interfacial fracture and crack problems, in functionally graded bi-material systems, are at the forefront of many investigations (Lambros and Rosakis, 1995). A powerful approach for the analysis of the foregoing FGMs and mechanics problems is the integral equations or boundary element methods. Central to their success is the availability of suitable Green's functions.

For a detailed review of studies in the field of inhomogeneous isotropic and anisotropic solutions, one might refer to Wang et al. (2003) who also presented the Green's functions for the point load acting in an exponentially graded transversely isotropic half-space. Later, the fundamental solutions of an exponentially graded transversely half-space subjected to uniform and non-uniform vertical patch loads were derived, respectively (Wang et al., 2006, 2009). Martin et al. (2002) presented a

Green's function of three-dimensional exponentially graded elastic isotropic solids. Pan and Yang (2003) derived three-Green's dimensional static interfacial functions in anisotropic bi-materials. Chan et al. (2004) presented a general analytical technique for obtaining the Green's function for two-dimensional exponentially graded elastic isotropic solids. Kashatalyan and presented Rushchitsky (2009)two displacement potential functions in threedimensional elasticity of a transversely isotropic inhomogeneous media with the assumption of a constant Poisson ratio and functionally graded Young and shear moduli. Eskandari-Ghadi et al. (2009a), by Hu-Nowacki-Lekhnitskii virtue of potentials, presented the elastostatic Green's functions for an arbitrary internal load in a transversely isotropic bi-material full-space. et al. Eskandari-Ghadi (2009b) and Eskandari-Ghadi et al. (2008) presented elastodynamic solution for a tri-material transversely isotropic full-space and a coating-subgrade under surface loads. respectively. Sallah et al. (2010) obtained the Green's function for the threedimensional exponentially graded elasticity. Eskandari and Shodja (2010) derived an exponentially graded transversely isotropic half-space Green's functions under static different loads acting in an arbitrary depth of the medium. Eskandari-Ghadi and Amiri-Hezaveh (2014)presented the wave propagations in an exponentially graded transversely isotropic half-space with the aid of Fourier series and Hankel transform. Khojasteh et al. (2008a, 2013), with the aid of displacement potential functions and Hankel transform, derived three-dimensional Green's functions in transversely isotropic tri-material full-space, bi-material and respectively. Selvadurai and Katebi (2013) studied the axisymmetric response of an incompressible elastic half-space with the exponential variation of the linear elastic shear modulus along with the depth. Noijen et al. (2012) presented a semi-analytic method for crack kinking analysis at isotropic bi-material interfaces. Kalantari et (2015)presented theoretical al. a formulation for the determination of the dynamic interaction of a horizontally loaded inextensible circular membrane embedded at the interface of a transversely isotropic bimaterial full-space. Zhao et al. (2015) studied the Green's functions and extended displacement discontinuity method for interfacial cracks three-dimensional in transversely magneto-electroisotropic elastic bi-materials. Li et al. (2015) presented axisymmetric problems of a penny-shaped crack at the interface of a bimaterial under shear and compression.

This paper presents the Green's function of an exponentially graded transversely isotropic bi-material by utilizing the method presented by Khojasteh et al. (2013) together with the new displacement potential functions presented by Eskandari-Ghadi and Amiri-Hezaveh (2014). An arbitrary point load is assumed to be applied at the interface between two half-spaces. The formulation includes a complete set of transformed stress-potential and displacement-potential relations, together with the application of Fourier series and Hankel transform. The potential methods applied in this paper are the pervious works the same with (Eskandari-Ghadi, 2005, 2007; Khojasteh et al., 2008a,b, 2006; Ardeshir-Behrestaghi and Eskandari-Ghadi, 2009). The complete set of point-load Green's functions of

displacements and stresses are given in terms line-integral of real-plane representations. The elastic constants of materials are assumed to vary exponentially along the axis of symmetry of the solid. The Green's functions are confirmed to be in agreement with the previous exact homogeneous transversely degenerate isotopic solutions by Khojasteh et al. (2008a) and the result by Eskandari and Shodja (2010) for a heterogeneous halfspace. Also, the accuracy of the numerical result is confirmed by the comparison with the solution by Selvadurai and Katebi (2013) for the case of an incompressible heterogeneous isotropic solid. The effect of the material inhomogeneity is elucidated by several numerical displays. With the aid of the Green's functions presented herein, treatments by boundary-integral-equation formulations for the analysis of interfacial inclusions and cracks in bi-material FGMs can be facilitated, which can also be useful in a number of foundation-soil interaction and earthquake engineering problems.

GOVERNING EQUATIONS IN DISPLACEMENT POTENTIALS

The governing equilibrium equations for a vertically heterogeneous transversely isotropic elastic solid which its material properties vary exponentially along the axis of symmetry of the solid, in terms of displacements and in the absence of the body forces can be expressed as (Wang et al., 2003).

$$C_{11}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}u_{r}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial r} - \frac{u_{r}}{r^{2}}\right) + C_{66}\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{r}}{\partial \theta^{2}} + C_{44}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{r}}{\partial z^{2}} - (C_{11} + C_{66})\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + (C_{12} + C_{66})\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + (C_{13} + C_{44})\frac{\partial^{2}u_{z}}{\partial r\partial z} + 2\beta C_{44}\left(\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial r}\right) = 0,$$
$$C_{66}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\theta}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial r} - \frac{u_{\theta}}{r^{2}}\right) + C_{11}\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^{2}} + C_{44}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\theta}}{\partial z^{2}} + (C_{11} + C_{66})\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial \theta}$$

$$+ \left(C_{12} + C_{66}\right) \frac{l}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial r \partial \theta} + \left(C_{13} + C_{44}\right) \frac{l}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial r \partial z} + 2 \beta C_{44} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial z} + \frac{l}{r} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0,$$

$$C_{44} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right) + C_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial z^{2}} + \left(C_{13} + C_{44}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial r \partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\theta}}{\partial z \partial \theta}\right)$$

$$+ 2\beta C_{33} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial z} + 2\beta C_{13} \left(\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{u_{r}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0.$$

$$(1)$$

in a cylindrical coordinate system (r, θ, z) , where z-axis is the axis of symmetry of the solid; u_r , u_{θ} and u_z : are the displacement components in the r, θ and z directions, respectively; C_{ij} : are elasticity constants of the solid corresponding to the depth z = 0with the relation $C_{66} = (C_{11} - C_{12})/2$; and β : is the exponential factor characterizing the degree of the material gradient in the zdirection. Here, it is assumed that the elastic constants of the medium vary exponentially in the z-direction as:

$$C_{ij}^{\mathrm{I}}(z) = C_{ij}^{\mathrm{I}} e^{2\beta^{\mathrm{I}} z}$$
⁽²⁾

in medium I, and

$$C_{ij}^{II}(z) = C_{ij}^{II} e^{2\beta^{u} z}$$
(3)

in medium II, where C_{ij}^{I} and C_{ij}^{II} : indicate z -independent elastic the constants corresponding to the depth, with z = 0. It is obvious that $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the homogeneous transversely isotropic bimaterials. In order to solve the coupled partial differential Eq. (1) a set of complete potential functions F and χ introduced by Eskandari-Ghadi and Amiri-Hezaveh (2014) is used. These two potential functions are related to the displacement components, u_r , u_{θ} , and u_{z} as:

$$u_{r}(r,\theta,z) = -\alpha_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial r \partial z} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta},$$

$$u_{\theta}(r,\theta,z) = -\frac{\alpha_{3}}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \theta \partial z} - \frac{2\alpha_{2}\beta}{r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r},$$

$$u_{z}(r,\theta,z) = \left[(1+\alpha_{1}) \nabla_{r\theta}^{2} + \alpha_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(2\beta + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right] F$$
(4)

where

$$\nabla_{r\theta}^{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}$$
(5)

and

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{C_{12} + C_{66}}{C_{66}},$$

$$\alpha_{2} = \frac{C_{44}}{C_{66}},$$

$$\alpha_{3} = \frac{C_{13} + C_{44}}{C_{66}}$$
(6)

The substitution of Eq. (4) into Eq. (1) result in two separate partial differential equations (PDEs) which are the governing equations for the potential function F and χ :

$$\left[\nabla_1^2 \nabla_2^2 - 4 \frac{\alpha_3 - \alpha_2}{1 + \alpha_1} \beta^2 \nabla_{r\theta}^2\right] F = 0$$
(7)

$$\nabla_0^2 \chi = 0 \tag{8}$$

where

$$\nabla_i^2 = \nabla_{r\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{s_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)_\beta - \frac{\beta^2}{s_i^2}$$
(9)
$$i = 0.1, 2$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)_{\beta} Y = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \left(e^{\beta z} Y\right)$$
(10)

$$\left(\alpha_{3}^{2} - \alpha_{2}^{2} - (1 + \alpha_{1})\alpha_{4}\right)s^{2} + \alpha_{2}\left(1 + \alpha_{1}\right) = 0$$
(11)

Here $s_0 = 1/\sqrt{\alpha_2}$ and s_1 and s_2 : are the roots of the following equation, which in view of the positive-definiteness of the strain energy, are not zero or pure imaginary numbers (Lekhnitskii, 1963).

By virtue of Fourier expansion, with respect to the angular coordinate θ , one may express (Sneddon, 1951) Eq. (12) with similar expressions for the displacement and stress components.

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(r,\theta,z), \chi(r,\theta,z) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} F_m(r,z), \chi_m(r,z) \end{bmatrix} e^{im\theta}$$
(12)

Moreover, utilizing the mth order Hankel transform pair sufficiently regular function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{z})$ with respect to the radial coordinate as (Sneddon, 1972) Eq. (13), the following ordinary differential equations for F and χ can be obtained (Eqs. (14-16)).

$$\widetilde{f}^{\mathrm{m}}(\xi, z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{r}, z) r J_{m}(r\xi) dr,$$

$$f(r, z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}^{\mathrm{m}}(\xi, z) \xi J_{m}(r\xi) d\xi$$
(13)

$$\left[\nabla_{1m}^{2}\nabla_{2m}^{2} + 4\frac{\alpha_{3} - \alpha_{2}}{1 + \alpha_{1}}\beta^{2}\xi^{2}\right]F_{m}^{m} = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\nabla_{0m}^2 \chi_m^m = 0 \tag{15}$$

where

$$\nabla_{im}^{2} = -\xi^{2} + \frac{1}{s_{i}^{2}} \left(\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \right)_{\beta} - \frac{\beta^{2}}{s_{i}^{2}}$$
(16)
$$i = 0.1, 2$$

The general solution of Eqs. (14) and (15) can be written as:

$$\tilde{F}_{m}^{m}(\xi, z) = e^{-\beta z}
\begin{bmatrix} A_{m}(\xi)e^{\lambda_{l}z} + D_{m}(\xi)e^{-\lambda_{2}z} \end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix} B_{m}(\xi)e^{\lambda_{2}z} + E_{m}(\xi)e^{-\lambda_{2}z} \end{bmatrix}
\tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m}(\xi, z) =
e^{-\beta z} \begin{bmatrix} C_{m}(\xi)e^{\lambda_{3}z} + F_{m}(\xi)e^{-\lambda_{3}z} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where

$$\lambda_{1} = \sqrt{a\xi^{2} + b + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{c\xi^{4} + d\xi^{2}}},$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \sqrt{a\xi^{2} + b - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{c\xi^{4} + d\xi^{2}}},$$
(19)
$$\lambda_{3} = \sqrt{\beta^{2} + s_{0}^{2}\xi^{2}},$$

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(s_{1}^{2} + s_{2}^{2}),$$

$$b = \beta^{2},$$

$$c = (s_{1}^{2} - s_{2}^{2})^{2},$$

$$d = -16\frac{\alpha_{3} - \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{4}}\beta^{2}.$$
(20)

It is worth mentioning at this point that in view of the positive-definiteness of the strain

energy, s_1 and s_2 are neither zero nor pure imaginary numbers (Lekhnitskii, 1963). The values of λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 are selected such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j) \ge |\beta|$. Under these choices, the $e^{\lambda_1 z}$, $e^{\lambda_2 z}$ and $e^{\lambda_3 z}$ terms become inadmissible and are thus omitted in Eqs. (17) and (18), while A_m, \dots, F_m are constants of integration to be determined from boundary conditions.

By means of Eq. (4) and the identities involving Hankel transforms, the transformed displacement-potential relations may be compactly expressed as:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_{zm}^{m} &= \\ \left[\alpha_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(2\beta + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) - \xi^{2} \left(1 + \alpha_{1} \right) \right] \tilde{F}_{m}^{m}, \\ \tilde{u}_{m}^{m+1} &+ i \tilde{u}_{\theta m}^{m+1} &= \\ \alpha_{3} \xi \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_{m}^{m}}{\partial z} + 2 \alpha_{2} \beta \xi \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} - i \xi \tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m}, \\ \tilde{u}_{m}^{m-1} &- i \tilde{u}_{\theta m}^{m-1} &= \\ -\alpha_{3} \xi \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_{m}^{m}}{\partial z} - 2 \alpha_{2} \beta \xi \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} - i \xi \tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m} \end{split}$$
(21)

while the transformed stress-potential relationships can be written as:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\tau}_{zzm}^{m} &= \left(C_{33} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\alpha_{2} \frac{d}{dz} (2\beta + \frac{d}{dz}) - \xi^{2} (1 + \alpha_{1}) + \alpha_{3} \frac{C_{13}}{C_{33}} \xi^{2} \right) \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} + 2\alpha_{2}\beta C_{13} \xi^{2} \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} \right) e^{2\beta z}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{zm}^{m+1} + i\tilde{\tau}_{z\theta n}^{m+1} &= \left(C_{44} \xi \left(-\alpha_{2} \frac{d}{dz} (2\beta + \frac{d}{dz}) + \xi^{2} (1 + \alpha_{1}) + 2\alpha_{2}\beta \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_{3} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \right) \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} - iC_{44} \xi \frac{d\tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m}}{dz} \right) e^{2\beta z}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{zm}^{m-1} - i\tilde{\tau}_{z\theta n}^{m-1} &= \left(-C_{44} \xi \left(-\alpha_{2} \frac{d}{dz} (2\beta + \frac{d}{dz}) + \xi^{2} (1 + \alpha_{1}) + 2\alpha_{2}\beta \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_{3} \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \right) \tilde{F}_{m}^{m} - iC_{44} \xi \frac{d\tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m}}{dz} \right) e^{2\beta z}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{rm}^{m} + 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{r_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} + im \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{\theta_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} = \\ e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \frac{d}{dz} \left[\alpha_{3}C_{11} \xi^{2} - C_{13} \xi^{2} (1 + \alpha_{1}) + \alpha_{2}C_{13} \frac{d}{dz} (2\beta + \frac{d}{dz}) \right] + 2\alpha_{2}\beta C_{11} \xi^{2} \right\} \tilde{F}_{m}^{m}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\theta\theta\theta n}^{m} - 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{r_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} + im \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{\theta_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} = \\ e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \frac{d}{dz} \left[\alpha_{3}C_{12} \xi^{2} - C_{13} \xi^{2} (1 + \alpha_{1}) + \alpha_{2}C_{13} \frac{d}{dz} (2\beta + \frac{d}{dz}) \right] + 2\alpha_{2}\beta C_{12} \xi^{2} \right\} \tilde{F}_{m}^{m}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\theta\theta\theta n}^{m} - 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{r_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} - im \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_{\theta_{m}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} = - C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \xi^{2} \tilde{\chi}_{m}^{m} \end{split} \right\}$$

$$(22)$$

With the aid of Eqs. (17), (18), (20) and (22), the imposition of the loading, interfacial and regularity conditions associated with a bi-material FGM is greatly facilitated, as will be illustrated in the ensuing sections.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the physical domain of interest to be composed of two dissimilar transversely isotropic half-spaces with exponential variation of elastic moduli along its axis of symmetry and fully bonded across the plane z=0. Figure 1 depicts a cylindrical coordinate system (r, θ, z) in such a way that z-axis is normal to the horizontal interface of the domain, therefore it serves as the common axis of symmetry of both media. Let the upper half-space (z < 0) be occupied by medium I and the lower halfspace (z > 0) be occupied by medium II. The elasticity constants of the upper halfspace (referred to as medium I) will be denoted as $C_{ii}^{I}(z)$ and those of the lower half-space (referred to as medium II) as $C_{ii}^{II}(z)$. Hereafter, the superscripts I and II denotes the quantities in media I and II, respectively. An arbitrary interfacial traction is assumed to be distributed on a finite region \prod_{0} which is located at the interface of the domain. The action of this arbitrary distributed source can be represented as a set of prescribed stress discontinuities across the interface (Khojasteh et al., 2008a), i.e.



Fig. 1. Functionally graded transversely isotropic bimaterial full-space under arbitrary interfacial load

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{zr}(r,\theta,0^{-}) &- \tau_{zr}(r,\theta,0^{+}) = \\ \begin{cases} P(r,\theta), & (r,\theta) \in \prod_{0} \\ 0, & (r,\theta) \notin \prod_{0} \end{cases} \\ \tau_{z\theta}(r,\theta,0^{-}) &- \tau_{z\theta}(r,\theta,0^{+}) = \\ \begin{cases} Q(r,\theta), & (r,\theta) \in \prod_{0} \\ 0, & (r,\theta) \notin \prod_{0} \end{cases} \\ \tau_{zz}(r,\theta,0^{-}) &- \tau_{zz}(r,\theta,0^{+}) = \\ \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} R(r,\theta), & (r,\theta) \in \prod_{0} \\ 0, & (r,\theta) \notin \prod_{0} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(23)

where $P(r,\theta)$, $Q(r,\theta)$ and $R(r,\theta)$: are the specified interfacial traction distributions in radial, angular, and axial directions, respectively. In consistency with the regularity condition at infinity, the general solutions (17) and (18) for *F* and χ can be rearranged as Eqs. (24) and (25), in medium I, and Eqs. (26) and (27), in medium II.

$$\widetilde{F}_{m}^{m}(\xi, z) = e^{\beta^{1} z} [A_{m}^{\mathrm{I}}(\xi) e^{\lambda_{1}^{1} z} + B_{m}^{\mathrm{I}}(\xi) e^{\lambda_{2}^{1} z}]$$
(24)
$$\widetilde{\chi}_{m}^{m}(\xi, z) = e^{\beta^{1} z} [C_{m}^{\mathrm{I}}(\xi) e^{\lambda_{3}^{1} z}]$$
(25)

$$\widetilde{F}_{m}^{m}(\xi, z) = e^{-\beta^{H} z} \left[A_{m}^{H}(\xi) e^{-\lambda_{1}^{H} z} + B_{m}^{H}(\xi) e^{-\lambda_{2}^{H} z} \right]$$
(26)

$$\widetilde{\chi}_m^m(\xi, z) = e^{-\beta^{\mathfrak{u}}z} [C_m^{\mathrm{II}}(\xi) e^{-\lambda_3^{\mathfrak{u}}z}]$$
(27)

where $A_m^{I},..., C_m^{II}$: are the integration constants to be determined using the boundary conditions. For the general exponentially graded bi-material full-space problem of interest, an exact solution therefore requires the determination of six coefficients. With the aid of Eqs. (21) and (22), interfacial traction conditions (Eq. (23)), together with the continuity of displacements across the interface provide six equations required for the solution of the six unknown coefficients $A_m^{I},..., C_m^{II}$. Substituting the result into Eq. (21) gives the transformed Fourier components of the displacement field in the form of Eq. (28).

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_{zm}^{m} &= \\ \Omega_{l}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \Omega_{2}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right), \\ \tilde{u}_{m}^{m+l} &+ i\tilde{u}_{\theta m}^{m+l} = \\ \gamma_{l}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \\ \gamma_{2}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{X_{m} + Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) - \gamma_{3}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right), \\ \tilde{u}_{m}^{m-l} &- i\tilde{u}_{\theta m}^{m-l} = \\ \gamma_{l}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \\ \gamma_{2}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{X_{m} + Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \gamma_{3}(z,\xi) \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \end{split}$$

$$(28)$$

Analogously, Eq. (22) yields the transformed Fourier components of the stress field as:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\tau}_{zzm}^{m} &= \left[\left\{ C_{33} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{13} \xi \gamma_{1} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \left\{ C_{33} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{13} \xi \gamma_{3} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{zm}^{m+1} + i \tilde{\tau}_{z\thetan}^{m+1} &= \left[-C_{44} \left\{ \xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + C_{44} \left\{ \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} + Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) - C_{44} \left\{ \xi \Omega_{2} + \frac{d\gamma_{3}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{zm}^{m-1} - i \tilde{\tau}_{z\thetan}^{m-1} &= \left[C_{44} \left\{ \xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + C_{44} \left\{ \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} + Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + C_{44} \left\{ \xi \Omega_{2} + \frac{d\gamma_{3}}{dz} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{rmm}^{m} + 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{u_{r_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} + im \left(\frac{u_{\theta_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} &= \left[\left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{11} \xi \gamma_{1} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{11} \xi \gamma_{3} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\theta\thetam}^{m} - 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{u_{r_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} + im \left(\frac{u_{\theta_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} &= \left[\left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{12} \xi \gamma_{1} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{12} \xi \gamma_{3} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\theta\thetam}^{m} + 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{u_{r_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} - im \left(\frac{u_{\theta_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} = \left[\left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{12} \xi \gamma_{1} \right\} \left(\frac{X_{m} - Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) + \left\{ C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{12} \xi \gamma_{3} \right\} \left(\frac{Z_{m}}{C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|}, \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\theta\thetam}^{m} + 2C_{66} e^{2\beta z} \left\{ \left(\frac{u_{r_{s}}}{r} \right)^{m} - im \left(\frac{u_{\theta}}{r} \right)^{m} \right\} = \left[iC_{66} \xi \gamma_{2} \left(\frac{X_{m} + Y_{m}}{2C_{44}} \right) \right] e^{2\beta |z|} \\ \end{split}$$

In the aforementioned equations,

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{1}(z,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{S(\xi)} \left(\varphi_{1}l_{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\beta)|z|} - \varphi_{2}l_{1}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|z|} \right), \\ \gamma_{2}(z,\xi) &= \frac{C_{44}}{C_{44}^{1}(\lambda_{3}^{1}+\beta^{1}) + C_{44}^{11}(\lambda_{3}^{11}+\beta^{11})} \left(e^{-(\lambda_{3}+\beta)|z|} \right), \\ \gamma_{3}(z,\xi) &= -\frac{C_{44}\xi}{S(\xi)} \frac{z}{|z|} \left(\varphi_{1}k_{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\beta)|z|} - \varphi_{2}k_{1}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|z|} \right), \\ \Omega_{1}(z,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{z}{|z|} \left(\varphi_{1}l_{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\beta)|z|} - \vartheta_{2}l_{1}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|z|} \right), \end{split}$$
(30)
$$\Omega_{2}(z,\xi) &= \frac{C_{44}}{S(\xi)} \left(\vartheta_{1}k_{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\beta)|z|} - \vartheta_{2}k_{1}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|z|} \right) \end{split}$$

here,

$$\begin{split} X_{m} &= \widetilde{P}_{m}^{m-1}(\xi) - i\widetilde{Q}_{m}^{m-1}(\xi); \quad Y_{m} = \widetilde{P}_{m}^{m+1}(\xi) + i\widetilde{Q}_{m}^{m+1}(\xi); \quad Z_{m} = \widetilde{R}_{m}^{m}(\xi), \\ \mathcal{G}_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} &= -2\beta^{\mathrm{I}}\alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{I}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} + \beta^{\mathrm{I}}) + \alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{I}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} + \beta^{\mathrm{I}})^{2} - \xi^{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}) \\ \mathcal{G}_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= -2\beta^{\mathrm{II}}\alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) + \alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{2} - \xi^{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{II}}) \\ \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= 2\beta^{\mathrm{II}}\alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{I}} - \alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{I}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{I}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) \\ \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= 2\beta^{\mathrm{II}}\alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}} - \alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) \\ \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= 2\beta^{\mathrm{II}}\alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}} - \alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) \\ \eta_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \left[(\alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{II}} - \alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}})(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{2} + \xi^{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{II}}) \right] C_{44}^{\mathrm{II}} \\ \eta_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= \left[(\alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{II}} - \alpha_{2}^{\mathrm{II}})(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{2} + \xi^{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{II}}) \right] C_{44}^{\mathrm{II}} \\ \upsilon_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= -C_{33}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) \mathcal{G}_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + C_{13}^{\mathrm{II}} \xi^{2} \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} \\ \upsilon_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} &= -C_{33}^{\mathrm{II}}(\lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + \beta^{\mathrm{II}}) \mathcal{G}_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} + C_{13}^{\mathrm{II}} \xi^{2} \varphi_{i}^{\mathrm{II}} , \qquad i = 1,2 \end{split}$$

In expressions (28-31), C_{ij} : are the piecewise constant elastic moduli which are given by Eq. (32).

in addition, l_i and k_i : are functions defined as:

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} C_{ij}^{I}, z < 0 \\ C_{ij}^{II}, z > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$k_{i}^{I} = \mathcal{G}_{i}^{I} \left(\eta_{2}^{II} \varphi_{1}^{II} - \eta_{1}^{II} \varphi_{2}^{II} \right) + \varphi_{i}^{I} \left(\eta_{2}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{II} - \eta_{1}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{II} \right) + \eta_{i}^{I} \left(\varphi_{2}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{II} - \varphi_{1}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{II} \right) \\ l_{i}^{I} = \mathcal{G}_{i}^{I} \left(\upsilon_{1}^{II} \varphi_{2}^{II} - \upsilon_{2}^{II} \varphi_{1}^{II} \right) + \varphi_{i}^{I} \left(\upsilon_{1}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{II} - \upsilon_{2}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{II} \right) - \upsilon_{i}^{I} \left(\varphi_{1}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{II} - \varphi_{2}^{II} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{II} \right) \\ k_{i}^{II} = \mathcal{G}_{i}^{II} \left(\eta_{2}^{I} \varphi_{1}^{I} - \eta_{1}^{I} \varphi_{2}^{I} \right) + \varphi_{i}^{II} \left(\eta_{2}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{I} - \eta_{1}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{I} \right) + \eta_{i}^{II} \left(\varphi_{2}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{I} - \varphi_{1}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{I} \right) \\ l_{i}^{II} = \mathcal{G}_{i}^{II} \left(\upsilon_{1}^{I} \varphi_{2}^{I} - \upsilon_{2}^{I} \varphi_{1}^{I} \right) + \varphi_{i}^{II} \left(\upsilon_{1}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{I} - \upsilon_{2}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{I} \right) - \upsilon_{i}^{II} \left(\varphi_{1}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{I} - \varphi_{2}^{I} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{I} \right), \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

$$(33)$$

Also,

$$S(\xi) = \left(\eta_{2}^{II}\varphi_{1}^{II} - \eta_{1}^{II}\varphi_{2}^{II}\right)\left(\upsilon_{1}^{I}\vartheta_{2}^{I} - \upsilon_{2}^{I}\vartheta_{1}^{II}\right) + \left(\eta_{2}^{I}\varphi_{1}^{I} - \eta_{1}^{I}\varphi_{2}^{I}\right)\left(\upsilon_{1}^{II}\vartheta_{2}^{II} - \upsilon_{2}^{II}\vartheta_{1}^{II}\right) \\ + \left(\eta_{2}^{II}\upsilon_{1}^{II} - \eta_{1}^{II}\upsilon_{2}^{II}\right)\left(\vartheta_{1}^{I}\varphi_{2}^{I} - \vartheta_{2}^{I}\varphi_{1}^{I}\right) + \left(\eta_{1}^{II}\vartheta_{2}^{II} - \eta_{2}^{II}\vartheta_{1}^{II}\right)\left(\varphi_{1}^{I}\upsilon_{2}^{I} - \varphi_{2}^{I}\upsilon_{1}^{I}\right) \\ + \left(\eta_{1}^{I}\vartheta_{2}^{I} - \eta_{2}^{I}\vartheta_{1}^{I}\right)\left(\varphi_{1}^{II}\upsilon_{2}^{II} - \varphi_{2}^{I}\upsilon_{1}^{II}\right) + \left(\eta_{2}^{I}\upsilon_{1}^{I} - \eta_{1}^{I}\upsilon_{2}^{I}\right)\left(\varphi_{2}^{II}\vartheta_{1}^{II} - \varphi_{1}^{II}\vartheta_{2}^{II}\right)$$
(34)

Upon setting $\beta^{I} = \beta^{II} = 0$, $S(\xi)$ leads exactly to the same result obtained in Khojasteh et al. (2008a) for the homogeneous transversely isotropic bimaterials in the static condition.

On substituting the inverted Fourier components of the displacements and stresses into the corresponding angular Eigen function expansion, the desired formal solution to the general exponentially graded bi-material problem under consideration can be obtained.

POINT-LOAD GREEN'S FUNCTION

In the previous sections, the general solution has been formulated for an arbitrary source distributed on the plane z = 0. In order to obtain the point-load Green's functions, which are useful for the integral formulations of boundary value problems, one may define the distributed traction source may be defined as (Khojasteh et al., 2008a):

$$f_{\rm h}(r,\theta,z) = F_{\rm h} \frac{\delta(r)}{2\pi r} \delta(z) e_{h},$$

$$f_{v}(r,\theta,z) = F_{v} \frac{\delta(r)}{2\pi r} \delta(z) e_{z}$$
(35)

in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. Where δ : is the onedimensional Dirac delta function, e_h : is the unit horizontal vector in the $\theta = \theta_0$ direction given by (Figure 2).



Fig. 2. Vertical and horizontal point-load configurations

$$e_h = e_r \cos(\theta - \theta_0) - e_\theta \sin(\theta - \theta_0)$$
(36)

 e_r , e_{θ} , and e_z : are the unit vector in the radial, angular, and vertical directions, respectively; and F_h and F_v : are the point-load magnitudes. By virtue of the angular expansions of the stress discontinuities across the plane z = 0 and the orthogonality of the angular Eigen functions $\left\{e^{im\theta}\right\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$, the expression of Eq. (37) can be found for point-load in Eq. (35).

$$P_{\pm 1}(r) = \pm F_h e^{\mp i\theta_0} \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r},$$

$$P_m(r) = 0, \qquad m \neq \pm 1,$$

$$Q_{\pm 1}(r) = \pm F_h e^{\mp i\theta_0} \frac{\delta(r)}{4\pi r},$$

$$Q_m(r) = 0, \qquad m \neq \pm 1,$$

$$R_0(r) = F_v \frac{\delta(r)}{2\pi r},$$

$$R_m(r) = 0, \qquad m \neq 0$$
(37)

Subsequently, the transformed loading coefficients X_m , Y_m and Z_m can be expressed as (Khojaste et al., 2008b):

$$\begin{split} X_{1} &= \frac{F_{h}}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta_{0}}, \quad X_{m} = 0, \quad m \neq 1, \\ Y_{-1} &= \frac{F_{h}}{2\pi} e^{i\theta_{0}}, \quad Y_{m} = 0, \quad m \neq -1, \quad (38) \\ Z_{0} &= \frac{F_{v}}{2\pi}, \quad Z_{m} = 0, \quad m \neq 0 \end{split}$$

Upon inverting the transformed Eqs. (28) and (29) and using Eq. (38), the displacement and stress point-load Green's functions may be written as:

$$\begin{split} \hat{u}_{r}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &= \frac{-1}{4\pi C_{44}} \{ 2F_{v} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{3} \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi - F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \\ &\times \left(-\int_{0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2}) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{1} - \gamma_{2}) \xi J_{2}(r\xi) d\xi \right) \}, \\ \hat{u}_{\theta}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &= \frac{-1}{4\pi C_{44}} \{ F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \left(-\int_{0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2}) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{1} - \gamma_{2}) \xi J_{2}(r\xi) d\xi \right) \}, \\ \hat{u}_{z}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi C_{44}} \{ F_{v} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Omega_{2} \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi + F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \Omega_{1} \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi \}, \\ \hat{\tau}_{zz}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &= \frac{e^{2\beta|z|}}{2\pi C_{44}} \{ F_{v} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(C_{33} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{13} \xi \gamma_{3} \right) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi \\ &+ F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (C_{33} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{13} \xi \gamma_{1}) \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi \right) \}, \\ \hat{\tau}_{zr}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &= \frac{-e^{2\beta|z|}}{4\pi} \{ 2F_{v} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\xi \Omega_{2} + \frac{d\gamma_{3}}{dz} \right) \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi - F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \\ &\times \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} (\xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} + \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz}) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\infty} (\xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} - \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz}) \xi J_{2}(r\xi) d\xi \right] \}, \end{aligned}$$
(39)
$$\chi \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} + \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz} \right) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi - \int_{0}^{\infty} (\xi \Omega_{1} + \frac{d\gamma_{1}}{dz} - \frac{d\gamma_{2}}{dz}) \xi J_{2}(r\xi) d\xi \right] \right\},$$

$$\hat{\tau}_{rr}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) + \frac{2C_{66}}{r} \{\hat{u}_{r}^{*} + i(\hat{u}_{\theta_{1}}^{*}e^{i\theta} - \hat{u}_{\theta_{-1}}^{*}e^{-i\theta})\} = \frac{e^{2\beta|z|}}{2\pi C_{44}} \{F_{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{11}\xi\gamma_{3}\right) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi + F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dz} - C_{11}\xi\gamma_{1}) \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi\right)\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_{\theta\theta}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) &- \frac{2C_{66}}{r} \{ \hat{u}_{r}^{*} + i(\hat{u}_{\theta_{l}}^{*}e^{i\theta} - \hat{u}_{\theta_{-l}}^{*}e^{-i\theta}) \} = \frac{e^{-r/s_{1}}}{2\pi C_{44}} \{ F_{v} \int_{0}^{s} \left(C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dz} - C_{12}\xi\gamma_{3} \right) \xi J_{0}(r\xi) d\xi \\ &+ F_{h} \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (C_{13} \frac{d\Omega_{l}}{dz} - C_{12}\xi\gamma_{1}) \xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi \right) \}, \\ \hat{\tau}_{r\theta}^{*}(r,\theta,z;s) + \frac{2C_{66}}{r} \{ \hat{u}_{\theta}^{*} - i(\hat{u}_{r_{l}}^{*}e^{i\theta} - \hat{u}_{r_{-l}}^{*}e^{-i\theta}) \} = \frac{e^{2\beta|z|}}{2\pi\alpha_{2}} \{ F_{h} \sin(\theta - \theta_{0}) \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2}\xi^{2}\xi J_{1}(r\xi) d\xi \}. \end{aligned}$$

In the aforementioned expressions, the symbols " \hat{u}_i^* " and " $\hat{\tau}_{ik}^*$ " $(i, k = r, \theta, z)$ denote, respectively, the displacement and stress Green's functions, with the superscript "*" denoting the direction of the point-load upon appropriate specifications of F_h , F_v , and θ_0 in Eq. (35).

SPECIAL CASES

In this section, the examination of two degenerate cases is of relevance: (i) when the exponential variation of the material properties for both of half-spaces is zero, i.e. $\beta^{I} = \beta^{II} = 0$, and (ii) when the modulus of the upper medium z < 0 is zero, such degenerate forms of the general formulation correspond to the homogeneous bi-materials and the exponentially graded half-space solutions, respectively.

Homogeneous Transversely Isotropic Bi-Materials

Upon setting $\beta^{I} = \beta^{II} = 0$, the corresponding solutions corresponds exactly to that of Khojasteh et al. (2008a) for the homogeneous transversely isotropic bimaterials in the static condition.

Exponentially Graded Half-Space

Adopting $C_{ij}^{I} \rightarrow 0$, $\beta^{I} \rightarrow 0$, $C_{ij}^{II} = C_{ij}$, and $\beta^{II} = \beta$, degenerates the Kernel functions (28) to the following expressions for the exponentially graded half-space problem.

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{l}(z,\xi) &= \\ \frac{1}{I(\xi)} \Big(\phi_{l} \upsilon_{2} e^{-(\lambda_{l}+\beta)|Z|} - \phi_{2} \upsilon_{l} e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|Z|} \Big) \\ \gamma_{2}(z,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{C_{44}(\lambda_{3}+\beta)} e^{-(\lambda_{3}+\beta)Z} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{3}(z,\xi) &= \\ &-\frac{1}{I(\xi)} \Big(\phi_{l} \eta_{2} e^{-(\lambda_{l}+\beta)|Z|} - \phi_{2} \eta_{l} e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|Z|} \Big) \\ \Omega_{l}(z,\xi) &= \\ &-\frac{1}{I(\xi)} \Big(\vartheta_{l} \upsilon_{2} e^{-(\lambda_{l}+\beta)|Z|} - \vartheta_{2} \upsilon_{l} e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|Z|} \Big) \\ \Omega_{2}(z,\xi) &= \\ &\frac{1}{I(\xi)} \Big(\vartheta_{l} \eta_{2} e^{-(\lambda_{l}+\beta)|Z|} - \vartheta_{2} \eta_{l} e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\beta)|Z|} \Big) \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$
(40)

where

$$I(\xi) = \eta_2 \upsilon_1 - \eta_1 \upsilon_2 \tag{41}$$

The substitution of the Kernel functions (40) into Eqs. (38) and (39) yields the static point-load Green's functions for exponentially graded half-space. In this case, vertical displacements arising from vertical point-load are exactly the same as the results given in Eskandari and Shodja (2010).

NUMERICAL EVALUATION

In the previous section, the point-load Green's functions were expressed in terms of one-dimensional semi-infinite integrals. As the integrations generally cannot be carried out in exact closed-forms (Apsel and 1983; Pak and Guzina, 2002; Luco. Rajapakse and Wang, 1993; Rahimian et al., 2007; Khojasteh et al., 2011), a numerical quadrature technique is usually adopted in such evaluations. In order to accurately evaluate integrals accurately. it of importance to pay attention to the oscillatory nature of the integrands because of the presence of Bessel functions. In the present an adaptive quadrature work. rule demonstrated in Rahimian et al. (2007) has been incorporated and successfully used. Several numerical examples were carried out

to compare the present solution with existing numerical solutions, with satisfactory results.

In the first step for the numerical verification. the vertical displacement Green's functions were determined in the static case for the homogeneous bi-materials and compared with the static result given in Khojasteh et al. (2008a) and shown in Figure 3. Properties of materials have been given in Table 2. From the results it was observed that the solutions were identical. In addition, Figure 4 represents the numerical solution for the case of vertical displacement due to the vertical point-load at the surface for the exponentially graded half-space, with the inhomogeneity factor $\beta = 0.5$ and the solutions are shown to correspond with the

solution in Eskandari and Shodja (2010), whose material properties are $C_{11} = 41.3$, $C_{12} = 14.7$, $C_{13} = 10.1$, $C_{33} = 36.2$, $C_{44} = 10.0$. For the case of isotropic solution, the vertical displacement of an incompressible isotropic solid along the z-axis and along the r-axis at the interface with the initial shear modulus $G_0 = 3.33$ are depicted in Figures 5 and 6 and corresponded with the results obtained by Selvadurai and Katebi (2013), with both results having excellent agreement. The material constants for an isotropic medium can be reduced to $C_{11} = C_{33} = \lambda + 2\mu \,,$ $C_{12} = C_{13} = \lambda ,$ $C_{44} = C_{66} = \mu$, where λ and μ are the Lame's constants of the isotropic solid.



Fig. 3. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis obtained in this study compared with result reported by Khojaste et al. (2008) for static case $\omega_0 = 0$ and $\beta = 0$

Akbari, F. et al.



Fig. 4. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis obtained in this study compared with result reported by Eskandari and Shodja (2010) for $\beta = 0.5$



Fig. 5. Displacement Green's function u_z along z -axis obtained in this study compared with result reported by Selvadurai and Katebi (2013) for static case due to uniform vertical surface load



Fig. 6. Displacement Green's function u_z at the surface along r-axis obtained in this study compared with result reported by Selvadurai and Katebi (2013) for static case due to uniform vertical surface load

To illustrate the results obtained in the previous sections, some typical point-load Green's functions are presented in Figures 7 to 12 for two exponentially graded transversely isotropic materials, with a total of three characteristic cases. The values of the engineering elastic constants for the considered transversely isotropic materials are given in Table 1, where E_h and E_v are the Young's moduli with respect to directions lying in the plane of isotropy and perpendicular to it; v_h and v_{hv} are Poisson

ratios which characterize the effect of the horizontal strain on its orthogonal counterpart and the vertical strain (i.e., the z -direction strain), respectively; v_{vh} is the Poisson ratio which characterizes the effect of the vertical strain on horizontal strains; and f/2 is the shear modulus for the planes normal to the plane of isotropy (Khojasteh et al., 2008c). Upon converting to the elasticity moduli C_{ij} and choosing $E_{\nu_2} = 100$ Gpa, the pertinent elastic constants C_{ii} can be stated as those given in Table 2.



Fig. 7. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis ($\beta = 0.1$)



Fig. 8. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis ($\beta = 0.25$)



Fig. 9. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis ($\beta = 0.5$)



Fig. 10. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r along z -axis ($\beta = 0.1$)



Fig. 11. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r along z -axis ($\beta = 0.25$)



Fig. 12. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r along *z* -axis ($\beta = 0.5$)

		0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Material No.	$rac{E_h}{E_v}$	$\frac{f}{E_v}$	V_h	V_{hv} V_{vh}	$\frac{E_{_{\boldsymbol{v}_1}}}{E_{_{\boldsymbol{v}_2}}}$
Material 1	1.5	0.9	0.25	0.3 0.2	0.25
Material 2	3.0	1.0	0.1	0.9 0.3	-
	Table 2. Elas	tic constants of tran	sversely isotropic	naterials	
Material No.	С ₁₁ (Gpa)	C ₁₂ (Gpa)	<i>C</i> ₁₃ (Gpa)	C ₃₃ (Gpa)	C ₄₄ (Gpa)
Material 1	44.8	14.8	11.9	29.8	11.3
Material 2	553	280	250	250	50

Table 1. Engineering constants of transversely isotropic materials

The three cases considered here are:

Case 1. Exponentially graded bi-material with material 1 in medium I and material 2 in medium II (stiffer lower half-space).

Case 2. Exponentially graded bi-material with material 2 in medium I and medium II (two equal half-space).

Case 3. Exponentially graded bi-material with material 2 in medium II and $C_{ij}^{I}, \beta^{I} \rightarrow 0$ in medium I (no upper half-space).

The source point is taken to be the origin with coordinates (0,0,0). It is of necessity to point out that all numerical results presented here are dimensionless, where *L* represents the unit of length. Figures 7 to 9 depict the displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z due to the unit point-load in the *z*-direction for $\beta = 0.1, 0.25, 0.50$. Also, the displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r due to the unit pointload in the *r*-direction are delineated in Figures 10 to 12 for $\beta = 0.1, 0.25, 0.50$.

Furthermore, in order to provide further insight into the problem, the distributions of \hat{u}_z^z at the interface and along the *r*-axis are shown in Figures 13 to 15 for $\beta = 0.1, 0.25, 0.50$. As expected, in case 2 when a stiffer bi-material than in the other two cases (see Table 2), results in lowest values of displacement Green's functions.



Fig. 13. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z at the interface along *r*-axis ($\beta = 0.1$)

Akbari, F. et al.



Fig. 15. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z at the interface along *r*-axis ($\beta = 0.5$)

The displacement Green's functions are singular at the interface z=0 and tend to zero with increasing depth. Figures 16 to 19 display the effect of inhomogeneity. As observed, the higher β factor reduced the displacement Green's functions due to higher stiffness of the medium.



Fig. 16. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis (Case 1)



Fig. 17. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_z^z along z -axis (Case 2)



Fig. 18. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r along z -axis (Case 1)



Fig. 19. Displacement Green's function \hat{u}_r^r along *z* -axis (Case 2)

The distribution of the stress Green's functions $\hat{\tau}_{zz}^z$ due to the unit point-load in the *z*-direction are shown in Figures 20 to 22 for $\beta = 0.1, 0.25, 0.50$. Similar to the displacement Green's functions, the stress Green's functions are singular at the interface z = 0. It is noteworthy here that in the determination of $\hat{\tau}_{zz}^z$, the elastic constant C_{33} is the dominant component, with a value for the lower half-space (medium II) which is about seven times larger than that for the upper one (medium I) in the bi-material case 1 (Table 2). For this reason, for a given β in case 1, the value of $\hat{\tau}_{zz}^z$ for the lower half-

space is generally higher than that for the upper one. The effect of this neighboring medium on the stress distribution in either half-space is most pronounced near the material interface. Similar the to displacement Green's functions, the stress functions tend to zero Green's with depth and intensifies increasing with increasing β . The reason for this increase is existence of the factor $e^{\beta z}$ in the stress Green's functions. In consistency with the symmetry of the problem, all Green's functions for the full-space configuration (case 2) are symmetric with respect to the plane z = 0.



Fig. 20. Stress Green's function $\hat{\tau}_z^z$ along z -axis ($\beta = 0.1$)

Akbari, F. et al.



Fig. 21. Stress Green's function $\hat{\tau}_z^z$ along z -axis ($\beta = 0.25$)



Fig. 22. Stress Green's function $\hat{\tau}_z^z$ along *z* -axis ($\beta = 0.5$)

CONCLUSIONS

The three-dimensional static Green's functions of an exponentially graded transversely isotropic bi-material elastic fullspace due to the point-load are derived by means of integral transforms and the method displacement potential. of Thev are expressed in the form of explicit lineintegral representations which are essential for the efficient boundary element formulations of the related elastoestatic problems and are used in developing better evaluation of composites and anisotropic media. It is shown that the present exponentially graded transversely isotropic bi-material Green's functions can be analytically and numerically degenerated to the special cases such as the static solution for exponentially graded half-space and the homogeneous full-space bi-material media. Numerical examples have also been presented to elucidate the influence of the degree of inhomogeneity of the material.

REFERENCES

- Apsel, R.J., and Luco, J.E. (1983). "On the Green's functions for a layered half space. Part II", *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 73(4), 931-951.
- Ardeshir-Behrestaghi, A., and Eskandari-Ghadi, M. (2009). "Transversely isotropic two-layered half-space under the tangent load to the surface in the frequency domain", *Journal of Faculty of Engineering, University of Tehran*, 43(4), 335-348 (In Persian).
- Birman, V., and Byrd, L.W. (2007). "Modeling and Analysis of Functionally Graded Materials and Structures", *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, *ASME*, 60(5), 195-216.
- Chan, Y.S., Gray, L.J., Kaplan, T., and Paulino, G.H. (2004). "Green's function for a two-dimensional exponentially graded elastic medium", *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, *Series A*, 460 (2046), 1689-1706.
- Eskandari, M., and Shodja, H.M. (2010). "Green's functions of an exponentially graded transversely

isotropic half-space", International Journal of Solids and Structures, 47 (11-12), 1537-1545.

- Eskandari-Ghadi, M. (2005). "A complete solution of the wave equations in the transversely isotropic media", *Journal of Elasticity*, 81 (1), 1-19.
- Eskandari-Ghadi, M. (2007). "Potential function method for transversely isotropic with axially symmetric", *Journal of Faculty of Engineering*, *University of Tehran*, 41(6), 675-681 (In Persian).
- Eskandari-Ghadi, M., Pak, R.Y.S., and Ardeshir-Behrestaghi, A. (2009a). "Elastostatic Green's functions for an arbitrary internal load in a transversely isotropic bi-material full-space", *International Journal of Enginering Science*, 47(4), 631-641.
- Eskandari-Ghadi, M., Sture, S., Pak, R.Y.S., and Ardeshir-Behrestaghi, A. (2009b). "A tri-material elastodynamic solution for a transversely isotropic full-space", *International Journal of Solids & Structures*, 46(5), 1121–1133.
- Eskandari-Ghadi, M., Pak, R.Y.S., and Ardeshir-Behrestaghi, A. (2008). "Transversely isotropic elastodynamic solution of a finite layer on an, infinite subgrade under surface loads", *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 28(12), 986-1003.
- Eskandari-Ghadi, M., and Amiri-Hezaveh, A. (2014). "Wave propagations in exponentially graded transversely isotropic half-space with potential function method", *Mechanics of Materials*, 68, 275-292.
- Kalantari, M., Khojasteh, A., Mohammadnezhad, H., Rahimian, M., and Pak, R.Y.S. (2015). "An inextensible membrane at the interface of a transversely isotropic bi-material full-space", *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 91, 34-48.
- Kashtalyan, M., and Rushchitsky, J.J. (2009). "Revisiting displacement functions in threedimensional elasticity of inhomogeneous media", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 46 (18-19), 3463-3470.
- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M., and Eskandari-Ghadi, M. (2006). "Three dimensional analysis of a transversely isotropic half-space under the tangent load to the surface in the frequency domain", *Journal of Faculty of Engineering, University of Tehran*, 40(5), 611-624 (In Persian).
- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M., and Pak, R.Y.S. (2008a). "Three-dimensional dynamic Green's functions in transversely isotropic bi-materials", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 45 (18-19), 4952-4972.
- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M., Eskandari, M. and Pak, R.Y.S. (2008b). "Asymmetric wave propagation

in a transversely isotropic half-space in displacement potentials", *International Journal of Engineering science*, 46 (7), 690-710.

- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M., Pak, R.Y.S., and Eskandari, M. (2008c). "Asymmetric dynamic Green's functions in a two-layered transversely isotropic half-space", *International Journal of Engineering Science, ASCE*, 134(9), 777-787.
- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M., Eskandari, M., and Pak, R.Y.S. (2011). "Three-dimensional dynamic Green's functions for a multilayered transversely isotropic half-space", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 48 (9), 1349-1361.
- Khojasteh, A., Rahimian, M. and Eskandari, M. (2013). "Three-dimensional dynamic Green's functions in transversely isotropic tri-materials", *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37 (5), 3164-3180.
- Lambros, J., and Rosakis, A.J. (1995). "Dynamic decohesion of biomaterials: experimental observations and failure criteria", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 32(17-18), 2677-2702.
- Lekhnitskii, S.G. (1963). *Theory of Elasticity of an Anisotropic Elastic Body*, Holden Day, San Francisco.
- Li, X.F., Tang, G.J., Shen, Z.B., and Lee, K.Y. (2015). "Axisymmetric problems of a penny-shaped crack at the interface of a bi-material under shear and compression", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 69-70, 403-414.
- Martin, P.A., Richardson, J.D., Gray, L.J., and Berger, J.R. (2002). "On Green's function for a three-dimensional exponentially graded elastic solid", *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London, Series A*, 458 (2024), 1931-1947.
- Noijen, S.P.M., Van der Sluis, O., Timmermans, P.H.M., and Zhang, G.Q. (2012). "A semianalytic method for crack kinking analysis at isotropic bi-material interfaces", *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 83, 8-25.
- Pak, R.Y.S. and Guzina, B.B. (2002). "Threedimensional Green's functions for a multi-layered half-space displacement potentials", *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, ASCE, 128(4), 449-461.
- Pan, E., and Yang, B. (2003). "Three-dimensional interfacial Green's functions in anisotropic bimaterials", *Applied Mathematical Modeling*, 27 (4), 307-326.
- Rahimian, M., Eskandari-Ghadi, M., Pak, R.Y.S. and Khojasteh, A. (2007). "Elastodynamic potential method for transversely isotropic solid", *Journal* of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE, 133(10), 1134-1145.

- Rajapakse, R.K.N.D. and Wang, Y. (1993). "Green's functions for transversely isotropic elastic halfspace", *Journal of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE*, 119(9), 1724-1746.
- Sallah, O.M., Gray, L.J., Amer, M.A. and Matbuly, M.S. (2010). "Green's function expansion for exponentially graded elasticity", *International Journal for Numerical Method in Engineering*, 82 (6), 756-772.
- Selvadurai, A.P.S. and Katebi, A. (2013). "Mindlin's problem for an incompressible elastic half-space with an exponential variation in the linear elastic shear modulus", *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 65, 9-21.
- Sneddon, I.N. (1951). *Fourier transforms*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Sneddon, I.N. (1972). *The use of integral transforms*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Wang, C.D., Tzeng, C.S., Pan, E. and Liao, J.J. (2003). "Displacements and stresses due to a vertical point load in an inhomogeneous transversely isotropic half-space", *International Journal of Rock Mechanic and Mining Sciences*, 40(5), 667-685.
- Wang, C.D., Pan, E., Tzeng, C.S., Han, F. and Liao, J.J. (2006). "Displacements and stresses due to a uniform vertical circular load in an inhomogeneous cross-anisotropic half-space", *International Journal of Geomechanic*, 6(1), 1-10.
- Wang, C.D. and Tzeng, C.S. (2009). "Displacements and stresses due to non-uniform circular loadings in an inhomogeneous cross-anisotropic material", *Mechanics Research Communications*, 36(8), 921-932.
- Zhao, Y.F., Zhao, M.H., Pan, E. and Fan, C.Y. (2015). "Green's functions and extended displacement discontinuity method for interfacial cracks in three-dimensional transversely isotropic magneto-electro-elastic bi-materials", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 52, 56-71.