



journal homepage: http://jac.ut.ac.ir

# Optimization of profit and customer satisfaction in combinatorial production and purchase model by genetic algorithm

Fatemeh Ganji<sup>\*1</sup> and Zahrasadat Zamani<sup>†2</sup>

 $^{1,2}\mbox{Department}$  of Industrial Engineering, Gopayegan University of Technology, Golpayegan, Iran.

## ABSTRACT

Optimization of inventory costs is the most important goal in industries. But in many models, the constraints are considered simple and relaxed. Some actual constraints are to consider the combinatorial production and purchase models in multi-products environment. The purpose of this article is to improve the efficiency of inventory management and find the economic order quantity and economic production quantity that can minimize the cost of inventory and customer satisfaction. In this study, the models with these targets in combinatorial production and purchase systems with the assumption the warehouse and budget constraints are proposed. Since a long time for solving the problem with an exact method is required, we develop a genetic algorithm. To evaluate the efficiency of the proposed

*Keyword:* combinatorial production and purchase model; genetic algorithm; inventory control.

AMS subject Classification: 05C78.

\*Corresponding author: F. Ganji. Email: ganji@gut.ac.ir <sup>†</sup>Zamani@gut.ac.ir

Journal of Algorithms and Computation 51 issue 1, June 2019, PP. 43 - 54

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10, June 2018 Received in revised form 19, April 2019 Accepted 27 May 2019 Available online 01, June 2019

## 1 Abstract continued

algorithm, test problems with different sizes of the problem in the range from 1 to 2000 jobs, are generated. The results show that the genetic method is efficient to determine economic order quantity and economic production quantities. The computational results demonstrate that the average error of the solution is 10.93%. (FITNESS AND TAGUCHI)

## 2 Introduction

Inventory is accumulated materials for supporting manufacturing system fluctuations that occur probably and includes production horizon, distribution of demand, inflation, fashion, and operating support [12]. Hill [6] states that inventory management has wide application in manufacturing systems. Therefore, the company accumulates the appropriate inventory to meet the fluctuations and to avoid material shortages. Stock and Lambert [14] mention the advantages of holding inventory. Inventory cost is one of the main components of a manufacturing companys total cost. Heizer and Render [5] point out that inventory includes 40% of the total manufacturing cost. Therefore, to reduce the total cost significantly, one of the Solutions is minimizing the inventory cost without reducing the quality of its output like customer satisfaction. To reduce the inventory cost, the company needs to carry materials in the appropriate inventory level. Maintenance the inventory at a low level can reduce the holding cost. However, The risk of material shortage will be increased. On the other hand, carrying inventory at a high level decreases the risk of shortages and increases customer satisfaction but the cost of carrying inventory will be high. Therefore, the suitable policy that brings the company to the optimal level of inventory is very important. Finding the best solution of the mathematical model is obtained by an optimization method. Optimization is a mathematical tool to find the best solution by using historical data and a combination of the related variable for achieving the main objective [4]. There are many optimization methods that have been developed by researchers based on different assumptions and conditions. Kumar [8] studied the assumption

based on different assumptions and conditions. Kumar [8] studied the assumption and limitations of the EOQ model in the actual environment. Harris [3] studied the classic economic order quantity (EOQ) model that forms the basis for many other models that relax one or more of its assumptions. One assumption, instantaneous delivery, was relaxed by Taft [15], who used a finite production rate, leading to the basic economic production quantity (EPQ) model. An assumption of both of these models is that shortage is not allowed. Relaxing this assumption led to models for the two basic cases: Backorders and lost sales. Montgomery et al. [10] considered a model for the basic EOQ with partial backordering (EOQ-PBO) firstly. Mak [9] added partial backordering to the basic EPQ model (EPQ-PBO). Although a comprehensive review of deterministic partial backordering models is proposed by Pentico and Drake [11]. They studied more complicated model structures, partial backordering models that include either time-based backordering rate functions or additional considerations. A model for the EPQ-PBO in which the constant backordering rate changes when production starts is studied by them [11].

Stockton and Quinn [13] considered the basic EOQ model using Genetic Algorithms to solve economic lot size. This model is based on a deterministic policy such as constant demand and repetitive replenishment, in which backorder is not allowed. Besides, Hou et al. [7] also applied the periodic review method in production inventory management using Genetic Algorithms approach. In that model, they assume that backorders are allowed and the demand is constant. Furthermore, [1] demonstrated the use of a periodic review model by determining the fixed order quantity in periodic review approach. Ghodsypour and O'Brien [2] developed an integer non-linear programming model which consider the total cost of inventory, including total price, shortage, and transportation and ordering cost. Yokoyama [16] proposed a model for a multiple sourcing inventory and distribution system. That model focused on finding the target inventory and transportation quantity that minimizing the total cost of the system by using a random local search method combined with GAs.

In the present work, Genetic Algorithms are applied to find out optimal solutions through the optimization process. Our aim is to find an optimal ordering quantity for various inventory items stored.

Also, the single machine scheduling problem with one flexible maintenance period and non-resumable jobs are considered by minimizing the weighted number of tardy jobs. It is assumed that there is a flexible maintenance period that its starting time is as the decision variable. Moreover, the idle time is not allowed. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: the problem is described in Section 3. Notation and mathematical model is presented in Section 4. Section 5 is aimed at proposing a heuristic algorithm. Computational experiments are then given in Section 6 to demonstrate the effectiveness of algorithm, followed by the conclusion in Section 7.

## 3 Preliminary

• Holding Costs: Holding costs are those associated with storing inventory that remains unsold. These costs are one component of total inventory costs, along with ordering and shortage costs. A firm's holding costs include the price of goods damaged or spoiled, as well as that of storage space, labor, and insurance. Minimizing inventory costs is an important supply-chain

management strategy.

- Perpetual Inventory: Perpetual inventory is a method of accounting for inventory that records the sale or purchase of inventory immediately through the use of computerized point-of-sale systems and enterprise asset management software. The perpetual inventory provides a highly detailed view of changes in inventory with immediate reporting of the amount of inventory in stock, and accurately reflects the level of goods on hand.
- Purchase Order Lead Time: Purchase order lead time is the number of days from when a company places an order for production inputs it needs to when those items arrive at the manufacturing plant. Purchase order lead times vary from company to company and from industry to industry, depending on the types of goods or materials being ordered, their relative abundance or scarcity, where the suppliers are located, and even the time of year.
- Back Order: A backorder is a customer order that has not been fulfilled. A backorder generally indicates that customer demand for a product or service exceeds a company's capacity to supply it.

In many studies in inventory control purchase models and production, models are considered separately with regard to special assumption, but in a real environment, it is important to consider two scenarios together. In this article the combinatorial EOQ and EPQ model considering multi products and budget and warehouse space constraints. The shortage is permitted such as backordering. The aim of this research is to minimize the bi-criteria targets, inventory cost, and customers satisfaction. The purpose of this article is to improve the efficiency of inventory management and find the economic order quantity and economic production quantity that can minimize the cost of inventory and customer satisfaction. the model with these targets in combinatorial production and purchase systems with the assumption the warehouse and budget constraints in multi products environment is developed. It is assumed that if the shortage gets to a specific level, the new order is performed. Before the model's description, there are some assumptions that we need to define in this research.

- Annual demand is constant
- Lead times are known and constant
- Backorders are allowed
- On hand inventory at the end of the starting period is zero.
- On hand inventory at the beginning of the starting period is zero.

• Purchasing cost is less than the shortage cost.

## 4 Notations and mathematical modeling

In this section, we define notations and decision variables to model the problem. Then a mathematical model is proposed. The following notations are used in this section:

#### **Problem parameters:**

- $D_i$ : demand rate of product i
- $P_i$ : production rate of product i
- $S_i$ : shortage value of product i
- $h_i$ : holding cost of product *i* per time unit
- $f_i$ : required space for product i
- X: maximum budget
- $A1_i$ : ordering cost of product *i* per order
- $A2_i$ : production cost of product *i* per order
- $\pi 1_i$ : shortage cost per unit product *i* independent unit time
- $\pi 2_i$ : shortage cost per unit product *i* per unit time
- F: total space of the warehouse
- $C1_i$ : purchase cost of product i
- $C2_i$ : production cost of product i
- $R_i$ : sales price of product i
- N: number of products

#### **Decision variables:**

- *TIC*: inventory cost
- $THC_i$ : total holding cost of product i
- $TSC_i$ : total shortage cost of product i
- $TMC_i$ : total purchasing cost of product i
- $TOC_i$ : total ordering cost of product i
- $T_i$ : cycle length of product i
- $Q1_i$ : optimal order value of product i
- $Q2_i$ : optimal production value of product *i*
- $E_i$ : start point of product i

#### The mathematical model

The inventories costs are obtained from the summation of holding, ordering, purchasing, and production costs and cost of shortage. By considering the assumptions mentioned in the previous section, the component of inventory costs are calculated as follows:

$$TIC = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (THC_i + TSC_i + TMC_i + TOC_i).$$

Calculation of total holding cost: total holding costs are calculated by the following relation:

$$THC_i = \frac{h_i}{2} \left[ \frac{(Q1_i + E_i)^2}{D_i} + \frac{E_i^2}{D_i - P_i} \right] \frac{1}{T_i}.$$

Calculation of total cost of shortage: for calculating the total cost of shortage, we must sum two related costs such as dependent to unit time and independent one. Therefore, it is obtained by the following equation:

$$TSC_i = [\pi 1_i S_i + \pi 2_i (\frac{S_i^2}{(D_i - P_i)^2})] \frac{1}{T_i}.$$

Calculation of total purchasing and production cost: total purchasing and production costs is calculated by the following relation:

$$TMC_i = (C1_iQ1_i + C2_i + Q2_i)\frac{1}{T_i}$$

Calculation of total ordering cost: total ordering costs is calculated by the following relation:

$$TOC_i = (A1_i + A2_i)\frac{1}{T_i}.$$

Calculation of total revenue: total revenue of products sales is obtained as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i D_i.$$

Constraints: the constraints of budget and warehouse space are calculated as the following relations respectively:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} C1_{i}Q1_{i} + C2_{i}Q2_{i} \le X \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}Q1_{i} \le F.$$

Satisfaction of customer: the customer's satisfaction in this study, is calculated as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{D_i}{Q1_i + Q2_i}.$$

According to above explanation about component of the mathematical model, the inventory model is obtained as follows:

$$\max Z1: \sum_{j=1}^{N} R_i D_i - \frac{D_i (D_i - P_i)}{P_i (E_i - Q1_i) + z_i D_i} [(A1_i + A2_i) + (C1_i Q1_i + C2_i Q2_i) ]$$

$$\frac{h_i}{2} \left[ \frac{(Q1_i + E_i)^2}{D_i} + \frac{E_i^2}{D_i - P_i} \right] \frac{1}{T_i} + \left[ \pi 1_i S_i + \pi 2_i \left( \frac{S_i^2}{(D_i - P_i)^2} \right) \right] \frac{1}{T_i} \right]$$
(1)

$$\max Z2: \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{D_i}{Q1_i + Q2_i}$$
(2)

$$st:$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} C1_i Q1_i + C2_i Q2_i \leq X \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i Q1_i \leq F \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

$$Q1_i \leq E_i \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
(4)

(5)

The objective function (1) maximizes the total benefit of inventory. The objective function in relation (2) maximizes the satisfaction of the customer. Constraint (3) ensure that the total costs of purchase and production of all products are not greater than the total budget in hand. Constraint (4) ensure that the occupied space by-products are not greater than the total space of the warehouse. Constraint (5) shows that the order quantity of product *i* is not greater than the point of production of it.

## 5 solution method

In this study, regarding the bi-criteria objective function, the  $\epsilon$  constraint method is proposed. In this procedure, by recursive stages, the optimal solution is obtained in a long time commonly. Therefore, in this research, the  $\epsilon$  constraints method is applied and after passing a long time (with 3600 seconds constraint), two values are obtained for Z2 as 0.1 and 0.61. For calculating the optimum value of Z1, this problem must be solved in GAMS software with one objective and the obtained values for Z2 as constraints, again. Because of a long time for solving the problem with an exact method and regarding NP-hardness of the problem, in this research, the metaheuristic method, a genetic algorithm, is developed. That model concentrate on finding the target reorder quantities that minimizing the total cost of inventory and satisfaction of the customer, by using random local search method combined with GAs.

#### Genetic Algorithm

The genetic procedure begins with an initial set of solution which is called chromosome. One of them has some gens which specifies their characteristics. The chromosome forms a matrix with i rows and 6 columns as below shape:

$R_i$	$z_i$	$Q1_i$	$Q2_i$	$S_i$	$E_i$
-------	-------	--------	--------	-------	-------

The values of genes demonstrate values of decision variables that getting amount randomly and by these values, the objective function which is called fitness in GAs, is calculated.

population parameters:

The population size of GAs is considered 20 and the iteration as stop condition is 20 after controlling the feasibility of solutions. Furthermore, the mechanism of chromosome selection is a roulette wheel, where the selection was done randomly. The probability of mutation is 0.05 and the strategy of doing mutation is one point. The probability of crossover is 0.7 and its process is replacing two genes together randomly. It is worth noting that one chromosome is not feasible from the point of view constraints, that chromosome is eliminated and a new one is generated.

# 6 Computational results

The proposed model is illustrated by considering the following 20 examples (displayed in table 2). In each of examples, 100 independent runs have been performed by the genetic algorithm. So, the best-found values Z1, Z2, Q1, Q2,  $S_i$  and  $E_i$  have been obtained and showed in table 2. The GA parameters that are applied including the population of GA is 20, the probability of crossover is 0.7 and the probability of mutation is 0.05.

# 7 Conclusion

This article addressed the inventory problem for multi-item by warehouse capacity and budget constraint. Moreover, this inventory model maximizes the bi-criteria objective, the benefit of inventory and satisfaction of the customer. this article used a genetic algorithm to determine the order quantities of various products. The result obtained from the proposed method is quite satisfactory and efficient. this approach has achieved the objectives which are obtained a better control of inventory and reduce costs. To evaluate the efficiency of the proposed algorithms, 696 test problems with different sizes of the problem in the range from 1 to 2000 jobs, are generated. The computational results demonstrate that the number of problems that are solved optimally using GAMS isn't up to 10 jobs but the heuristic algorithm can solve the problems up to 1000 jobs with maximum error bound 10.93% in optimality.

WSFTA
of
bound
error
and
series
of
cification
Spec
÷
Table

	1																										
fitness 2	0.302433	0.271735	0.174848	0.197545	0.209137	0.171359	0.182842	0.208345	0.237688	0.181751	0.235343	0.198273	0.192559	0.205298	0.276589	0.201208	0.208247	0.229648	0.222976	0.205373	0.184539	0.191441	0.28822	0.233759	0.171145	0.201098	0.201098
fitness 1	$2.25 * 10^{8}$	$1.75 * 10^8$	$5.56 * 10^{8}$	$3.62 * 10^9$	$5.06 * 10^9$	$1.16 * 10^8$	$2.55 * 10^8$	$1.3 * 10^8$	$1.09 * 10^8$	$3.95 * 10^8$	$2.46 * 10^8$	$6.86 * 10^8$	$1.13 * 10^9$	$2.1 * 10^8$	$1.4 * 10^{8}$	$2.12 * 10^8$	$1.92 * 10^8$	$1.68 * 10^9$	$7.61 * 10^8$	$1.4 * 10^9$	$2.58 * 10^{8}$	$2.93 * 10^{8}$	$1.17 * 10^8$	$1.11 * 0^8$	$4.52 * 10^9$	$2.66 * 10^8$	$5.16 * 10^8$
$E_3$	3000	5020	9853	9444	9570	9500	9585	9978	7866	9856	9787	9888	9814	9830	9785	9896	9785	9758	10152	9823	9521	9625	9563	9478	9885	9853	9991
$S_3$	2219	9640	8637	9965	8999	9588	9801	9984	5472	9276	9671	9813	9901	9789	8873	9700	8829	9673	9980	9521	9924	9994	8881	8986	9962	8734	9294
$Q2_3$	2219	7353	8925	8279	8999	9840	9005	9447	852	6684	9551	9860	9901	4758	1478	9700	8829	9673	9980	9026	8546	8285	8881	9300	9200	7520	9294
$Q1_3$	2000	2800	8858	6723	8279	9313	9318	9066	9903	9265	9041	9215	6807	9789	8937	8948	8827	9353	8964	9787	9207	9509	9502	8986	7639	9646	9582
$Z_3$	7950	1948	989	700	1040	1458	204	630	1520	474	706	385	1205	232	1488	564	1464	195	569	7831	640	405	1283	1115	006	1861	456
$R_3$	8900	9752	2966	9671	8999	9877	9982	9984	9998	9276	9671	9860	9901	9811	9749	9985	8867	9673	9980	9521	9924	9994	9538	9730	9962	9807	9978
$E_2$	4010	3584	7866																							3395	3858
S2	1255	2580	2671	258	1386		6617																5351	_	3755 '	3494 :	8148
$2^{2_2}$	1443 4	3405 2	2994 2	2049			5421 (															<b>)</b> 05 :	2001	1359 '	7685 :	5489 (	2748 8
$2^{1_2}$ (	407 4	3656 3		7086 2	_	0.			Ŭ	9058 4	7	7			•••		~		Ű		~	:496 9	209 2	986	064	532	371 2
Z <sub>2</sub> (	010 2	156 3	0,	1-	7	0,	844 5			•	•••	•••	•••			•••	-	7	•		0	5643 6	~	1115 2	639 5	861 1	Ű
$R_2$ 2		4	6.4	•			8052 8	• •		7					•••				•••			25	t203 7		9455 7		359 4
1 R	555 6:		_		3783 80		5133 80		•	5014 6		••		0.	•	•••	•	•	•	7	0.		3692 42		9458 9	3029 80	
E	ഹ	U	0,	w	Ű	0,																	w	0,	0,	6202 30	0,
-	-				-				-				-	-			-			-	-	-		-		2704 62	-
-	-		7		-	•••				-	*		1		•.	-	•	•••	*		~	4				• •	1
Ũ			Ŭ		Ű	0.			•	7	7	•		•		Ŭ	Ű	•••	0.		0.	0.			0.	3 2582	
•		•••		Ű		~		7			•		7			0.	U	•	••	•	Ŭ		~	•	0.	9646	
	3778	2098	2539	8785	4386	897	5472	1968	5408	9058	9551	6379	1010	6351	8873	9502	7289	452	5071	1441	754	1331	1052	2683	6521	5050	4888
series	-	2	co	4	5	9	7	×	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

# Acknowledgments

The author is supported by the Department of Industrial Engineering at the Golpayegan University of Technology and her special thanks go to the Department for providing all necessary facilities available to her for successfully conducting this research.

# References

- Chiang, C., (2009), A periodic review replenishment model with a refined delivery scenario, International Journal of Production Economics, 118, pp. 253–259.
- [2] Ghodsypour, S.H., O'Brien, C.,(2001), The total cost of logistics in supplier selection, under conditions of multiple sourcing, multiple criteria and capacity constraint, Int. J. Production Economics, 73, pp. 15–27.
- [3] Harris, F. W., (1913), How Much Stock to Keep on Hand. Factory, The Magazine of Management 10, pp. 240-241 & 281-284.
- [4] Haupt, R. L., Haupt, S.E., (1998), Practical Genetic Algorithms, John Willey & Sons, Inc, New York.
- [5] Heizer, J. H., Render, B., (1993), Production and Operation Management, Strategies and Tactics, Allyn and Bacon, Boston.
- [6] Hill, C.I., (2005), Operations Management, Palgrave Macmillan, Hampshire.
- [7] Hou, C.I., Lo, C.Y., Leu, J.H. (2007), Use genetic algorithm in production and inventory strategy, Proceeding of the 2007 IEEE IEEM, pp. 963–967.
- [8] Kumar, R., (2016), Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) Model, Global Journal of Finance and Economic Management, 5(1), pp. 1–5.
- [9] Mak, K.L., (1982), A production lot size inventory model for deteriorating items, Computer And Industrial Engineering, 6(4), pp. 309–317.
- [10] Montgomery, D., Bazaraa, M.S., Keswani, A.k., (1973), Inventory Models with a Mixture of Backorders and Lost Sales, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 20(2), pp. 255–263.
- [11] Pentico, D., Drake, M., (2011), A survey of deterministic models for the EOQ and EPQ with partial backordering, European Journal of Operational Research, 214(2), pp. 179–198.

- [12] Starr, M.K., (2007), Foundations of Production & Operations Management, Thomson, USA.
- [13] Stockton, D.J., Quinn, L. (1993), Identifying economic order quantities using genetic algorithms, International Journal of Operation & Production Management, 13, pp. 92–103.
- [14] Stock, J.R., Lambert, D.M. (2001), Strategic Logistics Management, McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston.
- [15] Taft, E. W. (1918). The most economical production lot. The Iron Age, 101, 1410–1412.
- [16] Yokoyama, M., (2002), Integrated optimization of inventory distribution systems by random local search and a genetic algorithm, Computers & Industrial Engineering, 42(2), pp. 175–188.