# Levels of Fine Particulate Matter Bound Trace Metals in Air of Glass Industrial Area; Firozabad

## Rajouriya, K., Rohra, H. and Taneja, A.\*

Department of Chemistry, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra, 282002, India

Received: 21.12.2019

Accepted: 27.05.2020

**ABSTRACT:** The present study deals with sequential extraction of fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) bound trace metals in an industrial area of Firozabad, India. During the study period, daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration ranged between 73.49  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 113.26  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> with poor air quality index of 196.37. In the present study, Ca recorded the highest while Co had the lowest concentration among all analysed metals. Mn, Ni, Pb, Co, and Cu had high bioavailability (34.80%-65.80%) than other elements. Cd, Pb, and Cu were found to be highly enriched (EF> 289-6516) by varied anthropogenic activities. Hazard Quotient (HQ) for Ni, Mn, and Cr and Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR) of Cr<sup>(VI)</sup> and Ni exceeded the respective safer limit (HQ>1 and ELCR≥ 10<sup>-6</sup>), thus implying serious risk to the receptors. All the metals had the highest concentration in less mobile and residual fraction except for Ni and Co, which inferred greater risk due to their high toxicity. Overall, the results present exposure and risk assessment of metal associated particles; that provides in-depth knowledge of the risk factors through inhalation exposure pathway of particles associated with industrial environment.

**Keywords:** Air quality index, bioavailability index, fine particulate, sequential extraction, health risk.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets, suspended in the air is called particulate matter (PM) or particle pollution (Tiwari et al., 2020). These particles occur in many sizes and shapes. In the human respiratory tract, the extent of PM deposition is depends on the size of the particles such as coarse inhalable particles ( $PM_{10-2.5}$ ) collected in the upper respiratory tract while fine particles ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) travel deeper into the lungs (Sah et al., 2017).

Physical and chemical characteristics of particles, including the content of toxic substances, and solubility in biological fluids affects the potential health (Hu et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). The chemical composition of PM includes various trace water-soluble ions, elements. organic compounds (Rohra et al., 2018a; Pipal et al., 2014). Many metals, which are considered to be important airborne toxicants such as Nickel (Ni), Chromium (Cr), Lead (Pb), and Manganese (Mn) and are widely present in PM (Mohanraj et al., 2004; Loxham et al., 2013). International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified the toxic metals into 2 groups, Group I consisted of Cd and its compounds, Ni compounds, As and its compounds. inorganic Carcinogenic elements, inorganic Pb compounds are considered as Group 2A, and group 2B consisted of Co and its compounds (Sah et al., 2017). The toxic elements such as Cr,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author, Email: ataneja5@hotmail.com

Ni, Pb, K, Cu, Zn and Al are emitted from the anthropogenic activities (Rohra et al., 2018b; Izhar et al., 2016).

Both oxidation state of Cr is being toxic to animals as well as human and plants (Rohra et al., 2018a; Sah et al., 2017). It is important to know about the distributions of chemical forms of toxic elements besides their concentration, to assess the potential toxicities of trace elements. the bioavailability because of trace elements depends on the strength of their bonds, their characteristic surfaces, on the properties of solutions in contact with PM (Fernández et al., 2000). According to the International Union for Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) the fractionation is the process of classifying an analyte or group of analytes from a certain sample according to physical (e.g. size, solubility) or chemical (e.g. bonding, reactivity) properties (Templeton et al., 2000). The study of Jan et al., (2018) deals with the assessment of sequential extraction of PM bound trace metals and the potential health risks associated with them in Pune of India. et al., (2017) investigated Sah the bioavailability and human health risks of toxic metals (Pb, Ni, Cr, As, Cd, and Co) in fine PM (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Agra (India).

Firozabad is a densely populated industrial area consisting of 400 glass units that falls within the Taj Trapezium Zone (TTZ) (Kashif, 2016). Varshney and Agarwal, (2014) studied that 31.94% of

total males and 23.51% of the total of females have complained for respiratory problems in Firozabad, India. In previous years no studies have been reported on sequential extraction, contamination, and health risk assessment of PM in glass industrially area (Firozabad district). The aim of the present study is to assess the chemical speciation and toxicity of metal bound PM in an industrial area, therefore the main objectives are (a) to assess the mass concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$ , (b) to analyse the elements concentration in collected  $PM_{2.5}$  samples, (c) to assess metal exposure by sequential extraction procedure for the elucidation of chemical forms and the quantitative estimation of a specific element (d) to assess the bioavailability and mobility of metals in environmental physicochemical system and lastly (e) to infer the quantitative health risk posed by the toxic metals through inhalation by human.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Sampling was carried out in Firozabad city in May 2018. It is located in Western Uttar Pradesh of North Central India (27°09'N, 78°24'E). It is spread in 21.35 km<sup>3</sup> with a population of 601970 (Ahmad & Bano, 2015). Sampling was done on the terrace of a home building, located in the centre of the city and surrounded by nearby glass industries and main bus stand and was approximately 500m away from National Highway (NH-2) (Figure 1).



Fig. 1. A map of sampling site at Firozabad industrial area

High Temp	42 °C	Precipitation	17.0 mm	Wind	3 km/h			
Low Temp	27 °C	Humidity	37%	Pressure	1001 mbar			
Mean Temp	35 °C	Dew Point	17 °C	Visibility	km			
\$1.4								

Table 1. Meteorological parameters observed during the sampling event\*

\*https://www.timeanddate.com/weather/india/firozabad

The meteorological parameters during the sampling period were depicted in Table 1.

PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples were collected with the help of Fine Particulate Sampler (Envirotech APM 550) on PTFE filter paper (2µm pore size and 47 mm diameter). The sampling was done for 8h/day at a flow rate 16.67 L/min. Filter papers were weighed thrice before and after sampling for accuracy by using 4- digit Contech Analytical Microbalance (CAS-44). After the sampling, desiccator was used to equilibrate the samples at 20-30°C and relative humidity of 30-40% for 24-48 hours. Filter cassettes were used to carry weighed filter papers to the sampling site. These filters were transformed to filter holders and carried on to site location. After sampling, the exposed filter papers were then wrapped with aluminium foil and taken back to the laboratory and placed in desiccators for 24 hours for further post weighing. Field blank filter were collected to reduce gravimetric bias due to filter handling during and after sampling. After weighing, samples were stored in the refrigerator at 4<sup>o</sup>C to prevent the evaporation of volatile compounds.

Fractionation Procedure was used to partitioning the heavy metals into 4 different fractions F1, F2, F3, and F4 (Tessier et al., 1979).

In F1 fraction 15 ml Milli- Q water was the polypropylene added to tubes containing the small strips of filter paper, then shaking for 3 hour followed by centrifugation (15 minutes, 4000 rpm). The supernatant was decanted from the residue. In the F2 fraction, 10ml of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl) solution (0.25M) (at pH 2.0) was added to the residue obtained from the F1 fraction and shaken for 5 hours followed bv centrifugation (15 minutes at 4000 rpm) than decanted. After the addition of 15ml

of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (30%) into the residue left from F2 fraction was kept concentrate at water bath at the temperature of 95°C until near dryness, then added 15ml Ammonium Acetate  $(CH_3COONH_4)$  (2.5M) and agitated at room temperature at 95°C than centrifuged (15 min) and collected in polypropylene bottles (F3 fraction). In F4 fraction 10ml of HNO<sub>3</sub>, HCl and HClO<sub>4</sub> (6:2:5) were added to the residue obtained after extraction of F3 and digested in microwave oven followed by evaporation on a hot plate. The final volume was diluted to 30 ml. After extraction, samples in all 4 fractions (F1, F2, F3, and F4) were placed in a refrigerator at 4 °C until analysis. The metals (Ca, Al, Cd, Cr, Cu, Co, Fe, Mn, Ni, Mg, Zn and Pb,) were quantified by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES; iCAP 6300, Thermo Scientific) equipped with a multiplier collector.

During the monitoring period the sampler was cleaned time to time to make it free from contamination. Flow rate of Sampler (Envirotech APM 550) was checked per day. The Contech Analytical weighing Balance (CAS-44) was calibrated before weighing the samples. Teflon tap coated tweezers were used to handle the filters. Filters were wrapped in tissue paper and aluminium foil. The method detection limits (MDL) for Cr, Cd, Pb, Ni, As and Co, were 1.89, 2.17, 3.19, 2.68, 1.63 and 2.33 ng/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

"Air Quality Index" (AQI) may be defined as a single term used for reporting the air quality with respect to its effects on the human health (CPCB, 2015).

$$I_{p} = \frac{I \, high - I \, low}{Bp \, High - Bp \, Low} (Cp - Bp \, Low) + I \, Low \qquad (1)$$

where,  $I_p$ = AQI value for the pollutant (PM<sub>2.5</sub>);  $C_p$  = Absolute concentration of Pollutant;  $BP_{high}$ =Break Point Value that is  $\geq C_p$ ;  $BP_{Low}$ = Break Point Value that is  $\leq C_p$ ;  $I_{High}$ = the AQI value corresponding to  $BP_{High}$ ;  $I_{Low}$ = the AQI value corresponding to  $BP_{Low}$ . The index range AQI is categorized into 4 categories such as 0-100 (Good to Moderate AQ), 101-200 (Unhealthy AQ), 201-400 (Very Unhealthy AQ), AQI> 400 (Hazardous AQ) (CPCB, 2015).

The potential mobility and bioavailability of metal are assessed through the percent contributions of F1 and F2 to the total metal concentration further referred to as a bioavailability index (BIs) (Sah et al., 2017).

$$BI = \frac{F1 + F2}{Total \ concentration} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

The obtained higher BIs value of metals is considered to be physiologically active and may pose more health risks to the living being than the metals with lower BIs. In our study, on the basis of BI values metals were divided into 2 categories, less bioavailability, when BI <30%, and high bioavailability when BI >30% (Sah et al., 2017).

Enrichment Factor (EF) is a common approach in estimating the anthropogenic impact in an air samples with that of a selected reference element that is entirely crustal in origin. The EF can be calculated by equation 3.

$$EF = \left(C_x / C_{ref}\right)_{sample} / \left(C_x / C_{ref}\right)_{crust}$$
(3)

where, Cx is the concentration of metal in the air and Cref is the concentration of reference element in the crust respectively. Fe was considered as the reference element in each fraction in the present study and their concentrations in the upper crust continental were taken from Wedepohl (1995) (Rohra et al., 2018a). In general, classification of metals follows; EF < 10, EF = 10-100 and EF > 100 for less, moderately and highly enriched elements in most of the studies (Rohra et al., 2018a).

The Individual Contamination Factor (ICF) (equation 4) may be defined as the single number used to calculate the contamination of metals in the environment with respect to its retention time. It is given by means of ICF and Global Contamination Factor (GCF) (Jan et al., 2018). ICF can be divided into 4 categories, ICF> 1 (low contamination),  $1 \le$ ICF< 3 (moderate contamination), 3< ICF  $\leq$  6 (high contamination), ICF>6 (very high contamination) (Jan et al., 2018).

$$ICF = \frac{C_{nonresistant}}{C_{resistant}} = \frac{C(F1 + F2 + F3)}{CF4}$$
(4)

where,  $C_{resistant}$  is the concentration of metal in F4 fraction (residual fraction) which is not available for plants and humans.  $C_{nonresistant}$ stands for the metals concentration in the water soluble, mobile, and less mobile fractions (the first 3 fractions), which become available to the human body and or plants, on changing the pH. The sum of the ICFs of all the elements in a sample shows the GCF (equation 5).

$$GCF = \sum ICF \tag{5}$$

A model developed by United State Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) for the risk assessment was used in the present study to calculate the exposure concentration of metal to human through inhalation. Risk characterization for a receptor exposed via inhalation pathway at last involved the estimation of the Hazard Quotient (HQ) and Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR) for noncarcinogenic and carcinogenic risk respectively. HQ is defined as the ratio of exposure to an appropriate reference dose. "Excess lifetime cancer risk" (ELCR) is additional risk that someone might have of getting cancer if that person is exposed to cancer-causing materials for a longer time (Rohra et al., 2018a). The mathematical expressions described in Figure 2 were used to calculate Carcinogenic and Non-Carcinogenic health risk (USEPA, 2009).

#### Pollution, 6(3): 555-568, Summer 2020



Fig. 2. Overall methodology of Health Risk Assessment Calculation\* \*USEPA (2009)

Exposure factors for this model are depicted in Table 2 (USEPA, 2011; Rohra et al., 2018a).

Factors	Definition	TI	Values of Exposure Concentration			
ractors	Definition	Unit –	Child	Adult		
EC	Exposure Concentration	μg/m <sup>3</sup>	-	-		
CA	Contaminant Concentration	$\mu g/m^3$	-	-		
ET	Exposure Time	h/day	24	24		
EF	Exposure Frequency	Day/Year	350	350		
ED	Exposure Duration	Year	6	20		
AT	Average Time	Days	365 x ED	x ED		

Table 2. Exposure Factors for USEPA Health Risk Assessment Models\*

\*(Rohra et al., 2018a)

The Chronic Reference concentration and Inhalation Unit Risk is denoted by RfC  $(mg/m^3)$  and IUR  $(\mu g/m^3)$ . When HQ $\leq 1$ indicates no adverse health effects and HO> 1 indicates likely adverse health effects (USEPA, 1993). When HQ values are <1, there is no obvious risk to the population but if these values exceed 1, there may be a concern for potential non carcinogenic effects. For adult and children overall calculated value of ELCR of Cr<sup>(VI)</sup> and Ni is  $\geq 10^{-6}$  that proposed higher carcinogenic risk. It is to be noted that the total both Cr<sup>(VI)</sup> and Cr<sup>(III)</sup> concentration exist in the air with ratio (1:6) (Rohra et al., 2018a). According to the Hieu and Lee (2010) the carcinogenic health risk from  $Cr^{(VI)}$  was calculated as 1/7th of the total Cr concentration.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

During the sampling period  $PM_{25}$ concentration ranged from  $73.49 \mu g/m^3$  to  $113.26 \mu g/m^3$ . The average mass concentration of PM25 was found to be  $88.97 \pm 15.34 \ \mu g/m^3$ . The value exceeded 1.48 times the 24 hour standard limit of  $PM_{2.5}(60\mu g/m^3)$  set by the Indian National Ambient Air Ouality Standard. as prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) (NAAQS, 2009) and 3.5 times higher than the WHO 24 hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> guidelines  $(25\mu g/m^3)$  (WHO, 2005).

It was concluded that for all the number of days air quality remains hazardous except the  $3^{rd}$  day (Figure 3).

Rajouriya, K., et al.



Fig. 3. Air Quality Index (AQI) of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

For the average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration, the AQI value (196.37) too exceeded the hazardous limit (101-200). These results thereby infer that the Firozabad city is highly affected by  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution due to vast number of anthropogenic activities including industrial emissions carried out in this area (Ahmad & Bano, 2015).

On the basis of the obtained overall concentration, elements were grouped into 2 categories; Group I and Group II. Group I consisted of elements (Ca, Mg, Fe) having concentration in range 0.82-17.84 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> while the remaining ones having concentration ranged as 0.005-0.093 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> were termed as group Group II elements. Overall, in our study the Ca concentration was the highest while Co had

the lowest concentration among all the analysed metals.

Soluble and exchangeable fraction (F1) shows that the metals are adsorbed on particle surfaces, and they are mobile and easily extracted in aqueous solutions (Chaudhary & Banerjee, 2007). The relative distribution of metals in the soluble fraction followed the order: Co (42.18%)>Pb (19.07%)> Cu (18.39%)>Ca (5.92%)> Mg (5.03%)>Al (4.90%)>Mn (3.51%)> Fe (2.93%)>Cr (2.83%)> Ni (1.93%)> Cd (1.04%)> Zn (0.11%) as shown in Figure 4. Feng et al., (2009) reported the sequential extraction of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Guangzhou, South China, and it was concluded that more than 40% Cd and 30% Ni was water-soluble.



Fig. 4. Percentage distribution of metals in the different fractions

*Carbonates, oxides and reducible fraction* (*F2*) retained almost equal proportions of Al, Ca and Co (7-10%) and lower proportions of Cu, Cr, Mg, Mn (2.8-16.5%). This fraction also consisted of higher proportions of Cd, Fe, Pb and Ni, Zn (18.8-63.9%). In the reducible fraction, metals can become more mobile and can be released into the environment (Bhattacharyya et al., 2014). Cd (26.4%), As (39.9%), Cr (14.4%), Pb (50.7%) and Ni (17.8%) in fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) was reported by Li et al., (2015) in Nanjing, China.

Trace metals bound to various forms of organic matter are extracted in *Organic-matter bound, oxidizable and sulfidic metalic fraction (F3).* (Sah et al., 2017).Ca is retained maximum in this fraction (75.61%) followed by Cr, Mg, Mn, Zn, and Cu (7.09%). More than 40% of Ni and more than 30% of Co, Cr associated with F3 fraction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> reported by Feng et al., (2009) in Guangzhou, China.

Metals in this fraction are stable and are categorized as a residual fraction (F4) 2009). (Feng Maximum et al.. of concentration Al (69.59%),Fe (66.08%), Zn (55.41%), Ni (34.19%), Pb (32.12%), and Co (32.81%) were found in this fraction with relatively lower proportions of Cr (23.82%), Mg (23.82%), (15.71%)Mn (16.15%),Cu and Ca(10.46%) (Figure 4). Feng et al., (2009) observed that Cr (>50%) was the dominant metal in the residual fraction while varying amounts of As (5.8%), Cd (30.7%), Cr<sup>(VI)</sup>

(33.0%), Ni (9.6%) and Pb (10.0%) in  $PM_{2.5}$  was observed by Li et al., (2015).

On the basis of mass concentrations, the metals in environmentally highly mobile fraction (F1) in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples decreased in following order: Co>Pb>Cu>Ca>Mg> Al>Mn>Fe>Cr>Ni> Cd>Zn as shown in Table 3.

With regard to the mobile proportions of metals (Fraction 2), a decrease in the order: Ni>Pb>Fe>Cu>Co>Cd>Al>Mg>Mn>Cr> Cd>Zn was observed. For the less mobile Fraction (F3), the metals decrease in the order: Ca>Mn>Cr>Mg>Cu>Zn>Pb>Al>Co >Fe> Cd. While the metals in the immobile Fraction (4) decrease in the order: Al>Fe> Zn>Ni>Co>Pb>Mg>Cr>Mn>Cu>Ca. The fraction wise mass concentrations of the metals observed at the present site are compared with other sites is depicted in the Table 4.

On comparison, it was found that the metal concentration obtained in the present study on an average exceeded those of the previous study (Sah et al. 2019) conducted in the Agra region; that might be attributed to the *'industrial impact'*. On global comparison, the values obtained were found to be lower than those obtained in studies conducted in Pune (Jan et al. 2018) and Indonesia (Betha et al. 2013).

From the results, it is clear that Mn, Ni, Pb, Co, and Cu had high bioavailability given in range (34.8%-65.8%), while the remaining elements demonstrated low bioavailability (0.82%-22.35%) depicted in the Figure 5.

METALS	F1	F2	F3	F4	F1+F2+F3+F4
Al	0.1322	0.1984	0.4894	1.8762	2.6962
Ca	3.1268	4.2262	39.9322	5.5254	52.8104
Cd	0.0032	0.0076	0.0296	ND	0.0404
Со	0.0162	0.0042	0.0054	0.0126	0.0384
Cr	0.0070	0.0070	0.1740	0.0588	0.2468
Cu	0.0398	0.0356	0.1070	0.0340	0.2164
Fe	0.1380	0.9128	0.5426	3.1042	4.6976
Mg	0.6494	0.9278	8.2550	3.0752	12.9074
Mn	0.0074	0.0146	0.1544	0.0340	0.2104
Ni	0.0060	0.1980	ND	0.1060	0.3100
Pb	0.0722	0.1058	0.0790	0.1216	0.3786
Zn	0.0002	0.0012	0.0744	0.0942	0.1700

Table 3. Absolute concentration  $(\mu g/m^3)$  of metals in different fractions

Where- ND: Not detected

### Rajouriya, K., et al.

S.No.	References/ Area of study	Fractions	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
		F1	0.0032	0.0162	0.0070	0.0398	0.1380	0.0074	0.0060	0.0722	0.0002
	Present study	F2	0.0076	0.0042	0.0070	0.0356	0.9128	0.0146	0.1980	0.1058	0.0012
1.	Firozabad,	F3	0.0296	0.0054	0.1740	0.1070	0.5426	0.1544	ND	0.0790	0.0744
	India	F4	ND	0.0126	0.0588	0.0340	3.1042	0.0340	0.1060	0.1216	0.0942
		F1+F2+F3+F4	0.0404	0.0384	0.2648	0.2164	4.6976	0.2104	0.3100	0.3786	0.1700
		F1	0.0140	ND	0.0017	0.030	0.080	0.024	0.027	0.032	0.156
	Sah et al.	F2	0.0010	ND	0.0020	0.061	0.647	0.022	0.045	0.039	0.012
2.	2019	F3	0.0110	ND	0.003	0.030	0.152	0.045	0.025	0.029	0.135
	Agra, India	F4	0.0260	ND	0.011	0.092	1.099	0.053	0.025	0.039	0.227
-		F1+F2+F3+F4	0.054	ND	0.019	0.215	1.98	0.146	0.123	0.139	0.646
		F1	0.033	0.067	0.055	0.040	0.060	0.034	0.051	0.055	0.107
	Jan et al.	F2	0.026	0.082	0.064	0.025	0.097	0.049	0.026	0.102	0.087
3.	2018	F3	0.018	0.051	0.113	0.106	0.675	0.052	0.163	0.037	0.195
	Pune, India	F4	0.021	0.066	0.0870	0.017	1.368	0.090	0.390	0.091	0.148
		F1+F2+F3+F4	0.099	0.265	0.319	0.240	2.200	0.225	0.630	0.285	0.538
		F1	0.002	ND	0.007	0.023	0.080	0.031	0.005	0.043	0.292
	Li et al. 2015	F2	0.001	ND	0.003	0.015	0.168	0.021	0.002	0.091	0.099
4.	Nanjing,	F3	0.0003	ND	0.007	0.018	0.303	0.013	0.005	0.043	0.046
	China	F4	0.001	ND	0.006	0.006	0.069	0.010	0.002	0.023	0.032
		F1+F2+F3+F4	0.004	ND	0.023	0.062	0.62	0.075	0.014	0.20	0.469
	Dethe et al	F1	0.039	0.039	0.243	0.400	2.305	0.091	0.249	0.033	0.398
		F2	0.007	0.026	0.689	0.497	5.780	0.465	0.614	0.080	0.572
5.	2015 Valimantan	F3	0.046	0.066	0.233	0.213	2.102	0.129	1.420	0.017	0.115
	Kannantan,	F4	0.013	0.107	0.169	1.355	3.378	0.141	0.819	0.331	0.704
Indone	muonesia	F1+F2+F3+F4	0.105	0.238	1.334	2.465	13.565	0.826	3.102	0.461	1.789

# Table 4. Comparison of the metals concentration $(\mu g/m^3)$ in the air of Firozabad with the other cities in India, or other cities around the globe

Where- ND: Not detected





A comparison between the metal concentrations and the limits imposed by the NAAQS (2009), European Commission

(EC, 2000) and the World Health Organization (WHO, 2007) is presented in the Figure 6.

Pollution, 6(3): 555-568, Summer 2020



Fig. 6. Metal Concentration (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in different fractions

[The blue line shows the NAAQ Standard set by National Ambient Air Quality Standard in India (NAAQS, 2009), European commission (2000), and WHO limit (WHO 2007)]

The Pb concentration in the 4 chemical forms as well as in the sum of the 4 fractions was below the NAAQS, EC and WHO guideline value. The concentration of Cd was below the EC and WHO guideline value in F1 and F4 fraction only Ni concentration exceeded the EC and WHO guideline value in F2 and F4 and in the sum of the fractions only. The concentration of Cr in F3 and F4 fractions was found higher as compare to WHO respective standards. The results of this comparison show that the levels of these metals are lower than the benchmark limits in the bioavailable fractions (F1 and F2) except for Cd and Ni. Hence it may be inferred that although concentrations of metals are higher. associated health risks are meagre as the concentrations in the bioavailable fractions are comparatively low.

As can be seen from Figure 7, the most enriched elements are Cd (6516.16), Pb (374.24), and Cu (289.21) (mean values). These high EF values indicate that the source of accumulation of Cd Pb, and Cu originates from anthropogenicity and is mainly from the traffic emissions and coal combustion (Tokalioglu et al., 2003), although industrial sources can also cause an effective accumulation for Cd and Pb (Wang et al., 2010).

After calculating the ICF of all metals in  $PM_{2.5}$ , it was found that the value of ICF for Al, Cd, Fe, and Zn was low (0.02-0.80) while in case of Co, Ni, Pb, the contamination was moderate (1.92-2.11). The contamination was considerable for the Cu, Cr, Mg, Mn (3.19-5.36) while the contamination factor value of Ca was found to be very high (8.55). From the results, it was inferred that the metals with high, moderate and considered ICF (Ca, Co, Ni, Pb, Cu, Cr, Mg, Mn) indicate the high environmental mobility and lower retention time of the metals and these metals pose more risk to the local environment (Figure 8). The GCF for all considered metal was 33.11. Sah et al. (2019) showed that Cd, Cu, Mn, Zn and Pb had high environmental mobility and lower retention time due to the higher value of ICF of these metals in their study.

The Exposure Concentration (EC) of a pollutant via an inhalation as exposure pathway was estimated (table 5).

Overall, the total value of HQ for all the metals in all fractions was obtained as 27.61 (Table 6). For Ni, Mn, and Cr was HQ>1 while for Al, the HQ value was below the benchmark limit. This infers that Ni posed a maximum non-carcinogenic risk while Al in presently obtained concentration doesn't pose any noncarcinogenic risk through inhalation. Following trend of non- carcinogenic risk for metals in varied fractionating stages was obtained as: Ni>Mn>Cr>Al (F1), Ni>Mn> Cr>Al (F2), Mn>Cr>Al>Ni (F3), Ni>Mn> Cr>Al (F4). This trend infers that Ni posed highest non- carcinogenic risk in F2 fraction that is the bio-available form of Ni posed 13 times higher risk (Non-Carcinogenic health risk) than safer limit while Mn and Cr as a potentially effective fraction (F3) didn't pose substantial noncarcinogenic risk.



Fig. 7. EF of the metals in four different fractions



Fig. 8. ICF of metals

#### Pollution, 6(3): 555-568, Summer 2020

		(	A)					
		]	Exposure Conce	ntration				
Flomonta	Adult							
Elements	F1	F2	F3	F4	SUM (F1+F2+F3+F4)			
Al	0.120	0.190	0.460	1.790	2.570			
Cr	0.006	0.006	0.166	0.055	0.230			
Mn	0.007	0.014	0.148	0.032	0.200			
Ni	0.005	0.189	ND	0.101	0.290			
ND: Not detected								

# Table 5. Exposure Concentration (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) [For (A) Non carcinogenic health risk and (B) Carcinogenic health risk] of metals in four different fractions

(B)												
		Exposure Concentration										
Metals	F1		F2		F3		F4		SUM (F1+F2+F3+F4)			
	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child		
Cr(VI)	0.0002	0.00008	0.0002	0.00008	0.0065	0.0019	0.0020	0.00067	0.0090	0.0028		
Ni	0.0016	0.0004	0.0540	0.0160	ND	ND	0.0290	0.0087	0.0840	0.0250		
Pb	0.0190	0.0050	0.0280	0.0080	0.0210	0.0060	0.0330	0.0090	0.1030	0.0310		

ND: Not detected

# Table 6. HQ or Non- Carcinogenic health risk (via inhalation) of total and fractional concentration of metals

		HQ							
Floments	Rfc Voluce*	Adult							
Liements	Kit values	F1	F2	F3	F4	TOTAL (F1+F2+F3+F4)			
Al	5.0E-3	0.02	0.038	0.09	0.35	0.51			
Cr	1.0E-4	0.06	0.06	1.66	0.56	2.36			
Mn	5.0E-5	0.14	0.28	2.96	0.65	4.03			
Ni	1.4E-5	0.36	13.56	ND	7.26	20.71			
Total		0.58	13.93	4.71	8.82	27.61			

ND: Not detected \*Rohra et al., 2018a

 Table 7. ELCR or Carcinogenic health risk of total and fractional concentration of metals Where- ND:

 Not detected
 \*(USEPA, 2011; Rohra et al., 2018a)

Metals IU	HID Volues*	HIB Voluce* F1		F2		2 F3		F4		SUM (F1+F2+F3+F4)	
	TUK values*	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child
Cr <sup>(VI)</sup>	8.40e-02	2.30×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.90×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.30×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.90×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.50×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.60×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.80×10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.05×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.40×10 <sup>-4</sup>
Ni	2.40e-04	3.94×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.18×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.30×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.90 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>	ND	ND	6.90×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.09×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.03×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.11×10 <sup>-6</sup>
Pb	1.20e-05	2.30×10 <sup>-7</sup>	7.10×10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.48×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.04×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.60×10 <sup>-7</sup>	7.20×10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.00×10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.20×10-7	1.20×10-7	3.70×10 <sup>-7</sup>
Total		2.3×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.10×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.00×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.50×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.60×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.80×10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.80×10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.20×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.40×10 <sup>-4</sup>

The overall ELCR value for the complete study was found to be  $8.2 \times 10^{-4}$  for adult and for children  $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  (Table 7). The value exceeded the benchmark limit of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  as prescribed by USEPA (2009).

This shows that 820 adults and 240 children out of 1 million are likely to develop carcinogenic effects if exposed to such concentration. For both adult and

children ELCR of  $Cr^{(VI)}$  and Ni exceeded the safer limits for carcinogenic risk while Pb in present concentration does not imply any cancerous risk. The highest risk of  $Cr^{(VI)}$  was obtained in F3 fraction when bound to organic matter. The results further display higher risk posed to adults than children through inhalation of particulate laden air bounded to toxic  $Cr^{(VI)}$  and Ni (Table 7).

## CONCLUSIONS

This study depicts the exposure of fine PM concentration and associated heavy metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an industrial area of Firozabad. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration obtained was  $88.97 \pm 15.34$  µg/m<sup>3</sup> that was 1.48-3.55 times higher than the safer limit prescribed by the government monitoring agencies (WHO and NAAQS). Among the analyzed metals Ca had the highest concentration in all fractions (F1,F2, F3, and F4 fractions). Zn had the minimum concentration in the highly mobile fraction (F1) and a less mobile fraction (F2) while Co reported the least concentration in F3 and F4 fraction. Mn, Ni, Pb, and Co showed high (34.80% - 65.80%)bioavailability and considered to be physiologically active and may pose more risks to humans than the metals with lower BIs (Fe, Al, Ca, Co, Cr, Zn, Mg). For adults overall calculated value of HQ for Ni, Cr, and Mn is >1 that proposed higher non- carcinogenic risks. For adult and children overall calculated value of ELCR of  $Cr^{(VI)}$  and Ni is  $\geq 10^{-6}$ that proposed higher carcinogenic risk while ELCR of Pb was under benchmark limits as prescribed by USEPA. Overall the study showed that health risk due to exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> through inhalation is not negligible to residents. As the chemical composition of PM determines the degree of toxicity, the calculated results highlight Cr<sup>(VI)</sup> exhibited that the higher carcinogenic and Ni exhibited the highest non carcinogenic risk. These results imply that, more attention should be paid to the environmental quality to reduce the health risks to children and adults residing in the vicinity of the present industrial site.

### **GRANT SUPPORT DETAILS**

The present research has been financially supported by University Grant Commission (UGC) New Delhi, (grant No. MRP-MAJOR-CHEM-2013-25775).

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is not any

conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript. In addition, the ethical issues, including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancy has been completely observed by the authors.

## LIFE SCIENCE REPORTING

No life science threat was practiced in this research.

### REFERENCES

Ahmad, A. and Bano, N. (2015). Ambient air quality of Firozabad city: a spatio-temporal analysis. Journal of Global Biosciences, 4(2); 1488-1496.

Betha, A., Pradani, M., Lestari, P., Joshi, U. M., Reid, J. S. and Balasubramanian, R. (2013). Chemical speciation of trace metals emitted from Indonesian peat fires for health risk assessment. Atmos. Res., 122; 571-578.

Bhattacharyya, D., Balachandran, S. and Chaudhury, S. (2014). Chemical speciation and mobility of some trace elements in vermin composted fly ash. Soil Sediment Contam., 23(8); 917-931.

Chaudhary, S. and Banerjee, D. K. (2007). Speciation of some heavy metals in coal fly ash. Chem. Spec. Bioavailab., 19(3); 95-102.

CPCB. (2015). National air quality index report; central pollution control board ministry of environment, forest and climate change 6.

http://www.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/file/ Air%20Quality%20Index.pdf

EC. (2000). DG Environment european commission. working group on arsenic, cadmium and nickel compounds, 2000. Ambient air pollution by As, Cd and Ni compounds. position paper (final version).

https://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/air/pdf/p p\_as\_cd\_ni.pdf (visited on 28/03/2020)

Feng, X. D., Dang, Z. and Huang, W. L. (2009). Chemical speciation of fine particle bound trace metals. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol., 6; 337-346.

Fernández, A. J., Ternero, M., Barragan, F. J. and Jimenez, J. C. (2000). An approach to characterization of sources of urban airborne particles through heavy metal speciation. Chemosphere, 2(2); 123-136.

Hieu, N. T. and Lee, B. K. (2010). Charactersticks of particulate matter and metals in the ambient air

from a residential area in the largest industrial city in Korea. Atmos. Res., 98(2-4); 526-537.

Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Ding, Z.H., Wang, T., Lian, H., Sun, Y. and Wu, J. (2012). Bioaccessibility and health risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and  $PM_{2.5}$  in Nanjing, China. Atmos. Environ., 57; 146-152.

Izhar, S., Goel, A., Chakraborty, A. and Gupta, T. (2016). Annual trends in occurrence of submicron particles in ambient air and health risk posed by particle bound metals. Chemosphere, 146; 582-590.

Jan, R., Roy, R. and Yadav, S. (2018). Chemical fractionation and health risk assessment of particulate matter-bound metals in Pune, India. Environ. Geochem. Health, 40(1); 255-270.

Kashif, M. (2016) (dissertation report). Bangles making in Firozabad, the glass city of India. B.Arch. First Year, F/O Architecture and Ekistics Jamia Milia Islamia University.

https://www.academia.edu/32765949/BANGLE\_M AKING\_IN\_FIROZABAD\_THE\_GLASS\_CITY\_ OF\_INDIA\_(Visited on 17/03/2020)

Li, H. M., Wang, J. H. and Wang, Q. G. (2015). Chemical fractionation of arsenic and heavy metals in fine particle matter and its implications for risk assessment: a case study in Nanjing, China. Atmos. Environ., 103; 339-346.

Li, H. M., Qian, X. and Wang, Q. G. (2013). Heavy metals in atmospheric particulate matter: a comprehensive understanding is needed for monitoring and risk mitigation. Environ. Sci. Technol., 47; 13210-13211.

Loxham, M., Cooper, M. J., Gerlofs-Nijland, M. E., Cassee, F. R., Davies, D. E., Palmer, M. R. and Teagle, D.A.H. (2013). Physicochemical characterization of airborne particulate matter at a mainline underground railway station. Environ. Sci. Technol., 47; 3614-3622.

Mohanraj, R., Azeez, P. A. and Priscilla, T. (2004). Heavy metals in airborne particulate matter of urban Coimbatore. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 47; 162-167.

NAAQS. (2009).The gazette of India: national ambient air quality standard extraordinary part II, section 3 on 16 november 2009, available from: http://www.cpcb.nic.in/

upload/Latest/Latest\_49\_MoEF\_ Notification.pdf

Pipal, A.S., Jan, R., Satsangi, P.G., Tiwari, S. and Taneja, A. (2014). Study of surface morphology, elemental composition and origin of atmospheric aerosols ( $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ ) over Agra, India. Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 14; 1685-1700.

Rohra, H., Tiwari, R., Khandelwal, N. and Taneja, A. (2018a). Mass distribution and health risk assessment of size segregated particulate in varied indoor microenvironments of Agra, India - A case study. Urban Climate, 24; 139-152.

Rohra, H., Tiwari, R., Khare, P. and Taneja, A. (2018b). Indoor outdoor association of particulate matter and bounded elemental composition within coarse, quasi-accumulation and quasi-ultrafine ranges in residential areas of Northern India. Sci. Total Environ., 631-632; 1383-1397.

Sah, D., Verma, P. K., Kumari, M. and Lakhani, A. (2017). Chemical partitioning of fine particlebound As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Co, Pb and assessment of associated cancer risk due to inhalation, ingestion and dermal exposure. Inhalation Toxicol., 29(11); 1-12.

Sah, D., Verma, P. K., Kandikonda, M. K. and Lakhani, A. (2019). Chemical fractionation, bioavailability, and health risks of heavy metals in fine particulate matter at a site in the Indo-Gangetic Plain, India. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Resea., 26; 19749-19762.

Templeton, D. M., Ariese, F., Cornelis, R., Danielsson, L. G., Muntau, H., Leeuwen, H. P. V. and Lobiński, R. (2000). Guidelines for terms related to chemical speciation and fractionation of elements. Definitions, structural aspects, and methodological approaches (IUPAC Recommendations 2000). Pure Appl. Chem., 72; 1453-1470.

Tessier, A., Campbell, P. G. C. and Bisson, M. (1979). Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. Anal. Chem., 51(7); 844-851.

Tiwari, R., Singh, P. P. and Taneja, A. (2020). Chemical characterization of particulate matter at traffic prone roadside environment in Agra, India. Pollution, 6(2); 247-262.

Tokalioglu, S., Kartal, S. and Birol, G. (2003). Comparison of three sequential extraction procedure for partitioning of heavy metals in car park dust. J. Environ. Monit., 5(3); 468-476.

USEPA. (1993). Reference dose (RfD): description and use in health risk assessment. United States of environmental protection agency, background document 1A, integrated risk information system (IRIS). https://www.epa.gov/iris/reference-dose-rfddescription-and-use-health-risk-assessments (visited on 06/03/2020)

USEPA. (2009). Risk assessment guidance for superfund: United States of environmental protection agency, Volume 1- Human health evaluation manual, (part F, supplemental guidance for inhalation risk assessment, (Washington D. C.). https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/partf\_200901\_final. pdf (visited 06/03/2020)

USEPA. (2011). Risk assessment guidance for superfund, human health evaluation manual; part E, supplemental guidance for dermal risk assessment; part F, supplemental guidance for inhalation risk assessment 1.

https://www.epa.gov/risk/risk-assessment-guidancesuperfund-rags-part-f (visited 06/03/2020)

Varshney, S. and Agrawal, P. (2014). Health and environmental impacts of glass industry (a case study of Firozabad glass industry). European Academic Research, 2 (8); 10127-10149.

Wang, Q. Y. D., Cui, Y. and Liu, X. (2010). Instances of soil and crop heavy metal contamination in China. Soil Sediment. Contam., 10(5);497-510.

WHO. (2005). Air quality guidelines global update published by world health organization on the internet, available from: http://www.euro.who.int/document/E87950.pdf (Visited on 29/02/2020)

WHO. (2007). Health risks of heavy metals from long-range transboundary air pollution, World Health Organization (*ISBN 978 92 890 7179 6*). http://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/000 7/78649/E91044.pdf?ua=1 (Visited on 29/02/2020)

### AUTHOR

Kalpana Rajouriya is a M.Phil. scholar in the Department of Chemistry, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Agra. She is working under the supervision Prof. Ajay Taneja for her degree. Her work is focused on industrial air pollution and to find out its impact on human being health.

Himanshi Rohra is Ph.D. scholar under the supervision of Prof Ajay Taneja and is working on chemical characterization of size segregated Particulate Matter and its effect on human health.

Ajay Taneja\* is a Professor of chemistry in Dr B. R. Ambedkar University, Agra. His research interest is in environmental chemistry and indoor air pollution.



Pollution is licensed under a "Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY 4.0)"