

# The Relationship between Working Posture and Musculoskeletal Disorders among Electrical Industrial Workers in Isfahan

Farzaneh Esmaili<sup>1</sup>, Rahman Sheikhhoseini<sup>2\*</sup>, Hashem Piri<sup>3</sup>, Fatemeh Gholami<sup>4</sup>

1. MSc, Department of corrective exercise & Sport injury, Faculty of physical education and sport sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran. Email: [farzaneh.ek5@gmail.com](mailto:farzaneh.ek5@gmail.com), ORCID: 0000-0002-0195-3888
2. Ph.D., Associated professor, Department of corrective exercise & Sport injury, Faculty of physical education and sport sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran. Email: [Rahman.pt82@gmail.com](mailto:Rahman.pt82@gmail.com) (\*: Corresponding Author), ORCID: 0000-0002-9885-3591
3. Ph.D., Assistant professor, Department of corrective exercise & Sport injury, Faculty of physical education and sport sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran. Email: [hpiry63@gmail.com](mailto:hpiry63@gmail.com), ORCID: 0000-0002-3137-6161
4. MSc student, Department of corrective exercise & Sport injury, Faculty of physical education and sport sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran. Email: [gholami.fatemeh.atu@gmail.com](mailto:gholami.fatemeh.atu@gmail.com)

\*: Corresponding author: Faculty of Sport Sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Western Azadi sport complex boulevard, Hakim Highway, Tehran, Iran. Tell: +982148394134 Email: [Rahman.pt2@gmail.com](mailto:Rahman.pt2@gmail.com)

## Abstract

**Background:** Work-related musculoskeletal disorder is the most important occupational disease that threatens the health of employees. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between workers' posture and musculoskeletal disorders.

**Methods:** Eighty-two male and female workers working in a home appliance factory participated in this cross-sectional study. Musculoskeletal disorders were investigated using Nordic questionnaires. Posture evaluation was performed to measure the angles of forward head, rounded shoulders, and thoracic kyphosis using photogrammetry. Craniovertebral, shoulder, and thoracic kyphosis angles were photographed during the work and then measured using ImageJ software. Data analysis was done using SPSS 26 and Spearman's correlation test at a significance level of 0.05.

**Results:** A significant relationship between the craniovertebral angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last twelve months was observed in the cervical region ( $P < 0.001$ ). Also, a significant relationship was observed between the kyphosis angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last twelve months in the upper back and lower back ( $P < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** The results showed that the workers' posture during work is correlated with the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders. Therefore, it is suggested to pay attention to ergonomic principles during work to maintain and improve the physical health of workers.

**Keywords:** *Musculoskeletal Disorders, Posture, Photogrammetry*

## **Introduction**

Today, people spend long hours in the workplace, but if they continue maintaining their posture for a long time, it may augment musculoskeletal disorders (1). The advancement of technology have improved working conditions and activities; nevertheless, the production and provision of various services have had a negative impact on a person's health, causing musculoskeletal disorders and disability in the short or long term (2). These disorders are considered as the primary issues related to occupational health in developing countries (1, 2).

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders are caused by risk factors in the workplace and are well known by terms such as cumulative trauma disorders and repetitive strain injury (3). Excessive cost, time, and injury are critical and influential factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders, which are counted as the main reasons for limitations in daily activities (4). People in different occupational groups are faced with some harmful factors due to the differences in the status of their jobs; therefore, researchers present various factors influencing musculoskeletal disorders, the most important of which are improper posture, mechanical stress, and repetitive and excessive force, sitting or standing still during working hours, lack of rest time, and performing activities with inappropriate equipment and tools (5-7). Preventing or delaying these disorders has significant effects on the health and safety of employees and increasing productivity (4). Although research has shown that psycho-social factors can cause these disorders; however, mechanical factors play the main role in the occurrence of these disorders. For example, repetitive movements in the joint, the organs' statuses, and the applied force can be considered as mechanical factors (8).

Hence, different studies have been conducted on the prevalence and complications of musculoskeletal disorders on people in different industrial, administrative work environments, and hospitals. Since the working place affects the physical condition of the person while working, and his/her posture is determined according to the work environment, it may bring about work-related musculoskeletal disorders if the physical dimensions of people while working are incompatible with the tools and equipment as well as the work place (9, 10).

The results of the research conducted on 85 computer users showed that 42% of the subjects had an inappropriate working posture, and the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among them were, respectively, in the back (5.37%), neck (4.34 %), and shoulder (1.28 %) (11). In a similar study, Porter et al. assessed the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among 1000 drivers using the Nordic questionnaire (12). They reported a significant relationship between driving a car and musculoskeletal disorders. Shawal et al. evaluated 84 workers working in the Hi-Tech Company using Nordic questionnaire and posture assessment method, reporting that the posture evaluation score of all the samples was over 3, and required measures and corrections should be made on their posture as soon as possible. On the other hand, these researchers reported a significant relationship between the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in the shoulder, wrist, and fingers and the scores of the neck, arm, and wrist (13).

One of the ways to examine the work environment is to check the ergonomic status. Previous studies have assumed the impact of ergonomic factors on musculoskeletal disorders (14). According to these risk factors, the ergonomic work environment can be designed and reconstructed (15). The incompatibility of the anthropometric dimensions of each person with the tools and equipment used is one of the common causes of muscular disorders in people (16). The use of inappropriate and non-ergonomic and non-adjustable tables and chairs is not suitable for people with different sizes. Their long-term use can cause pain and damage. On the other hand, no study was found on the relationship between the ergonomic and anthropometric status of workers in selected home industries that require long-term sitting and work to assemble electronic components. Understanding the relationship between musculoskeletal disorders and posture during work could assist workshop owners and workers in enhancing their health and reducing medical costs by implementing ergonomic improvements and maintaining proper body posture while working. Thus, the present study sought to answer the question of whether there is a relationship between working posture and musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limbs of selected industrial factory workers in Isfahan.

## **Materials and Methods**

A correlational type, cross-sectional study was conducted in one of the electrical household appliance factories located in the Jey Industrial zone of Isfahan. The subject workers were selected through convenience sampling method. Working in the selected factory and in sitting position were the inclusion criteria. The measurements and evaluation of complications were done while sitting. The number of employees in this factory was 123 consisting of men and women, of which 100 workers work in assembly, injection, packaging, and paint sections. A call was announced in the workplace. A sample of 82 people were recruited in this study. Each workers should work in his working position at least for 8 hours per day. To comply with ethical considerations, the workers were assured that all their data will be used only for this research, and the data will remain confidential. Also, participation in the research was voluntary, and it was explained to the subjects that they could withdraw from the project at any time without giving an explanation. The research methods were approved by the ethics committee in biomedical studies of Allameh Tabataba'i University (IR.ATU.REC.1400.010). The inclusion criteria were: at least one year of work experience, willingness to participate in the study, and working in a sitting position. The exclusion criteria were: history of joint diseases or rheumatoid arthritis, working in a standing position, history of heart diseases and heart surgery, history of mental diseases such as depression or anxiety being treated, history of surgery in the cervical spine to the waist, significant posture disorders in the spine such as structural scoliosis or structural thoracic kyphosis based on the New York postural assessment tool, the presence of symptoms suspected of being infected with the coronavirus such as fever, cough, lethargy, and weakness, or sudden onset of musculoskeletal pains in the last 3 days or unprotected contact with patients with a definite diagnosis of COVID-19 in the last 2 weeks. At first, the workers completed the informed consent forms to participate in the research project and were informed about the study process. In the first step, the height and weight of the workers were measured, and then the Nordic questionnaires were fulfilled.

The angles of forward head, rounded shoulders, and thoracic kyphosis were measured using photogrammetric method. In this method, first anatomical reference points (T12, T1, C7, ear tragus, and the middle point of the arm) were marked on the dominant side of the person with a label on their clothes, and then the camera was set and fixed on a tripod at a 150cm distance with a height of 90 cm in the lateral view from the person (5). ImageJ software was used to analyse the angles of craniovertebral, shoulder and thoracic flexion (Figure 1).



Figure 1: ImageJ software was utilized to examine the study postural variables (Craniovertebral angle)

The craniovertebral angle was measured to extract the forward head angle. To measure this angle, the required reference points (the external occipital protuberance of the seventh cervical vertebra and tragus) were first marked, and photographs were taken from the dominant side of the worker while sitting. Using the software, this angle was measured in such a way that a straight line was drawn from the tragus to the seventh cervical vertebra; the other line is a horizontal line that passes through the seventh cervical vertebra. The angle formed at the intersection of these two lines is the craniovertebral angle (17). The  $50^\circ$  angle was considered as a cut off angle. If the craniovertebral angle in a person is less than  $50^\circ$ , the person has a forward head problem (18). In a research study, the validity and reliability of this method was expressed as good to excellent using photogrammetry to evaluate the forward head posture in the sitting position. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was 0.98 and the validity was 0.89 (19).

The shoulder angle was used to diagnose the round shoulder disorder(20). To measure this angle, the required reference points (the seventh cervical vertebra and the middle point of the arm in the lateral view) were marked. Photography was done from the dominant side of the person in the sitting position. Using the software, this angle was measured in such a way that a horizontal line was drawn from the seventh cervical vertebra, and another straight line was drawn from the seventh cervical vertebra to the middle point of the arm on the lateral plane. The angle formed at the intersection of these two lines is called shoulder angle (21). The reliability of shoulder angle measurement by photogrammetric method was reported 0.78 (ICC) (20).

Thoracic flexion angle was used to diagnose thoracic kyphosis (18). To measure this angle, the required reference points (7<sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra and 12<sup>th</sup> thoracic vertebra) were first marked and photographed from the dominant side of the worker in a sitting position while working. All of the participants' work involved sitting throughout the day. This angle was measured using the software, i.e. a vertical line was drawn from the 7<sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra and another vertical line was drawn from the 12<sup>th</sup> thoracic vertebra, and then these two lines were connected to each other. The angle formed at the intersection of these two lines is the thoracic flexion angle(5). To measure the reliability of photogrammetry, the inter-observer reliability was reported as 0.94, so that the photogrammetry method can be used to measure kyphosis (22).

Moreover, the Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire, which is a self-report, was used to diagnose and measure musculoskeletal disorders, including 27 questions with yes/no answers. The questions are from nine anatomical regions of the body (three regions of the upper limb, three regions of the spine, three regions of the lower limb) including the neck, shoulders, elbows, hands and wrists, upper back, waist, hips and thighs, knees, and ankles. In this questionnaire, pain, numbness, and discomfort during the last week and 12 months before the research, and also, pain and discomfort causing absence from work or leave are examined (23, 24). The participants answered questions about the presence and severity of pain over the past 12 months, and those who had pain or discomfort during the study period were included in this research. The number of pain occurrences over the past 12 months was not calculated.

To analyse the demographic data, descriptive statistics were used, and inferential tests were used to measure the normality and correlation of the data. The normality of the data was measured using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Due to data non-normal distribution, the non-parametric Spearman test was used to measure the correlation between posture and musculoskeletal disorders. The tests were analysed with SPSS version 26. All tests were performed at a significance level of 95% and  $\alpha > 0.05$ .

## **Results**

Individual characteristics of people are briefly presented in Table 1.

Table 1:  
data of volunteer

Variable	Mean	Standard deviation
Age (year)	31.85	7.11
Height (centimeters)	171.85	8.93
Weight (kilograms)	74.56	14.24
Body Mass Index	25.19	4.12
Craniovertebral angle (degrees)	27.29	11.26
Shoulder angle (degrees)	47.54	16.27
Thoracic flexion (degrees)	45.20	3.77

Demographic participants

To measure the correlation between the variables of angle and musculoskeletal disorders, Spearman's test was used (Table 2 and 3). The results showed a

correlation between the variables of angle and disorders, was used (Table results showed a

significant correlation between the craniovertebral angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the cervical region ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Body zone	Mean	Standard Deviation
Neck	0.56	0.59
Shoulder	0.50	0.59
Elbow	0.16	0.37
Wrist	0.10	0.30
Upper back	0.44	0.50
Lower back	0.48	0.63
Buttock and thigh	0.10	0.30
Knee	0.13	0.34
Ankle	0.04	0.19

Table 2: The mean pain experienced during last 12 months in the study participants



Variable		Body zone	P-value	r
Craniovertebral angle	Pain experienced during last 12 months	Neck	<0.001*	-0.56
		Shoulder	0.583	-0.06
		Elbow	0.935	0.009
		Wrist	0.938	-0.009
		Upper back	0.926	-0.01
		Lower back	0.673	-0.047
		Buttock and thigh	0.859	-0.020
		Knee	0.261	0.125
		Ankle	0.153	-0.159

Table 3: correlation between craniovertebral angle and musculoskeletal disorders, \*: Statistically significant correlation observed

Spearman's test was used to measure the correlation between the variables of shoulder angle and musculoskeletal disorders (Table 4). A significant correlation was observed between the shoulder angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the shoulder area ( $P < 0.001$ ), elbow ( $P = 0.004$ ), and upper back ( $P = 0.019$ ).

Variable		Body zone	P-value	r
Shoulder angle	Pain experienced during last 12 months	Neck	0.869	-0.01
		Shoulder	<0.001*	-0.52
		Elbow	0.004*	-0.31
		Wrist	0.360	-0.10
		Upper back	0.019*	-0.26
		Lower back	0.273	-0.12
		Buttock and thigh	0.210	-0.14
		Knee	0.647	-0.05
		Ankle	0.559	-0.05



Table 4: correlation between shoulder angle and musculoskeletal disorders, \*: Statistically significant correlation observed

Spearman's test was used to measure the correlation between the variables of pectoral flexion angle and musculoskeletal disorders (Table 5). The results showed a significant correlation between the thoracic kyphosis angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the upper and lower back ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Variable		Body zone	P-value	r
thoracic kyphosis angle	Pain experienced during last 12 months	Neck	0.810	-0.02
		Shoulder	<0.132	-0.16
		Elbow	0.228	-0.13
		Wrist	0.320	-0.11
		Upper back	<0.001*	0.42
		Lower back	<0.001*	0.47
		Buttock and thigh	0.745	-0.03
		Knee	0.398	0.09
		Ankle	0.732	-0.038

Table 5: correlation between thoracic kyphosis angle and musculoskeletal disorders, \*: Statistically significant correlation observed

## Discussion

The results showed that the workers' posture during work is correlated with the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders. Workers' posture including craniovertebral, shoulder, and thoracic flexion angles were measured to evaluate forward head, round shoulder, and thoracic kyphosis, respectively, by photogrammetric method. The results showed a significant correlation between the craniovertebral angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the cervical region ( $P < 0.001$ ).

In this regard, various studies (20, 25) have pointed out the relationship between forward head and cervical pain in industrial, administrative jobs, and among students. For example, it has been shown that there is a significant relationship between forward head and cervical pain in the work

situation(26), or a negative significant relationship has been reported between the craniovertebral angle in both sitting and standing positions with the severity of cervical pain and disability of students (27).

Forward head disorder is the most common postural abnormality in employees. The main risk factor for forward head posture is related to tasks that require bending the head and neck or moving it forward (28). With forward head movements, the posterior muscles and muscles around the atlanto-occipital joint become more active and shorter with the aim of establishing balance, and a group of opposing muscles are stretched. Also, excessive activity of the extensor muscles to maintain the extension position brings about headache and fatigue (29). Forward head posture exerts an informal load on the nerve roots in this area through exerting additional pressure on the vertebrae, ligaments, and joints, and on the other hand, due to the displacement of the vertebral column, causes pain and disability in the neck (30). As the center of gravity moves forward, the torque arm becomes larger and more force is applied to the cervical muscles, causing a disturbance in the cervical muscles' balance (31). Other possible causes of cervical pain in people with forward head posture include increased tension on the cervical joints, damage to the disc in the lower part of the neck due to bending of this area (32).

Also, a significant correlation was observed between the shoulder angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the shoulder area ( $P < 0.001$ ), elbow ( $P = 0.004$ ), and upper back ( $P = 0.019$ ).

Previous studies have not taken into account the relationship between rounded shoulder and pain in the upper back area, but the cause of pain in this area may be a possible change in the length-tension relationships of the muscles in the pectoral and upper back area. The back muscles are stretched and possibly weak, and the front muscles are shortened (33). The change in the size and activity of the upper back muscles causes tension in them, which may be one of the causes of pain in people with round shoulders (34). Also, it may be possible to consider the change in the movement pattern of the hand and arm, which is associated with an increase in the shoulder angle, as one of the factors affecting pain since improper posture is the most important identified factor among the occupational risk factors affecting musculoskeletal disorders (34). Limitation in mobility caused by wrong body posture initially exerts additional load on the joints and in the long term on the bones, ligaments, and soft tissue and causes a change in proprioceptive sense (31). One of the signs of dysfunction in these parts is pain in the involved areas and its surroundings (33). Rounded shoulder causes defects in the functioning of the muscular, nervous, and skeletal systems by change in the location of the scapula. The created imbalance disrupts the

movement patterns of the hands and arms, and the forward movement of the shoulders and the internal rotation of the arm are reported to be the causes of shoulder pain in people with rounded shoulders (32, 35).

Also, the results showed a significant correlation between the thoracic kyphosis angle and musculoskeletal disorders in the last 12 months in the upper and lower back ( $P < 0.001$ ). The results are in line with other studies showing that thoracic kyphosis is significantly related with pain in the upper back (5).

A significant relationship was observed between thoracic kyphosis and back pain, which is contrary to the results of other studies. In Naderi et al.'s study, despite the widespread prevalence of thoracic kyphosis and back pain among dentists who spent a lot of time sitting in a bent position, no significant relationship was observed between thoracic kyphosis and back pain (36). One of the factors that may cause back pain in the subjects with thoracic kyphosis is maintaining a static sitting position for a long time with posterior tilt of the pelvis and reducing lumbar lordosis (5). Incorrect body posture changes the functions of different body systems and is known to cause disturbances in balance, flexibility, and strength of muscles and joints. Decrease in the activity of the posterior stabilizing muscles with the task of protecting the body against gravity reduces their strength and creates stiffness in the ligaments and joints connected to it. In addition, with the change of the intervertebral discs, the mobility of the vertebral column and the muscles connected to it decreases, especially in the direction of opening the thoracic spine. Limitation of mobility exerts additional load on the upper back tissues, which all bring about pain and fatigue of the upper back muscles (31, 33).

Research limitations should be taken into account to generalize the research data to all workers' community. The data collected on musculoskeletal disorders and working postures were self-reported, which may introduce recall bias or social desirability bias. Additionally, since the study only focused on electrical industrial workers in Isfahan, the findings may not be generalizable to other occupations or regions. Furthermore, the cross-sectional study design used in this research precludes establishing causality or determining temporality. Moreover, the absence of a control group in this study makes it difficult to compare the results to a group without musculoskeletal disorders or with different working postures. Finally, this study did not account for other factors that may contribute to the development of musculoskeletal disorders, such as age, gender, or job tenure.

**Conclusion:** The results showed that the workers' posture during work is correlated with the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders. Therefore, it is suggested to pay attention to ergonomic principles during work to maintain and improve the physical health of workers.

## References:

1. Lee JG, Kim GH, Jung SW, Kim SW, Lee JH, Lee KJ. The association between long working hours and work-related musculoskeletal symptoms of Korean wage workers: data from the fourth Korean working conditions survey (a cross-sectional study). *Annals of occupational and environmental medicine*. 2018;30:67. Epub 2018/12/14. doi: 10.1186/s40557-018-0278-0. PubMed PMID: 30524733; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6276142.
2. Costa G, Haus E, Stevens R. Shift work and cancer - considerations on rationale, mechanisms, and epidemiology. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*. 2010;36(2):163-79. Epub 2010/02/04. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.2899. PubMed PMID: 20126969.
3. Lotter O, Lieb T, Molsner J, Breul V. Predictors for Clinical Outcomes Related to Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorders in a Healthy Working Population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(17). Epub 2021/09/11. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18179171. PubMed PMID: 34501760; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8430676.
4. Chen N, Fong DYT, Wong JYH. Health and Economic Outcomes Associated With Musculoskeletal Disorders Attributable to High Body Mass Index in 192 Countries and Territories in 2019. *JAMA network open*. 2023;6(1):e2250674. Epub 2023/01/21. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.50674. PubMed PMID: 36662529; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9860530.
5. Candan SA, Sahin UK, Akoğlu S. The investigation of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among female workers in a hazelnut factory: Prevalence, working posture, work-related and psychosocial factors. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*. 2019;74:102838.
6. Kumar P, Chakrabarti D, Patel T, Chowdhuri A. Work-related pains among the workers associated with pineapple peeling in small fruit processing units of North East India. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*. 2016;53:124-9.
7. Souza ITG, Buski CRB, Batiz EC, Hurtado ALB. Ergonomic analysis of a clothing design station. *Procedia Manufacturing*. 2015;3:4362-9.
8. Huang GD, Feuerstein M, Sauter SL. Occupational stress and work-related upper extremity disorders: Concepts and models. *American journal of industrial medicine*. 2002;41(5):298-314.
9. Kaya Ö. Design of work place and ergonomics in garment enterprises. *Procedia Manufacturing*. 2015;3:6437-43.
10. Theorell T, Hammarström A, Aronsson G, Träskman Bendz L, Grape T, Hogstedt C, et al. A systematic review including meta-analysis of work environment and depressive symptoms. *BMC public health*. 2015;15(1):1-14.
11. Thetkathuek A, Meepradit P, Sa-Ngiamsak T. A cross-sectional study of musculoskeletal symptoms and risk factors in Cambodian fruit farm workers in eastern region, Thailand. *Safety and Health at Work*. 2018;9(2):192-202.
12. Porter JM, Gyi D. The prevalence of musculoskeletal troubles among car drivers. *Occupational medicine*. 2002;52(1):4-12.
13. Shuval K, Donchin M. Prevalence of upper extremity musculoskeletal symptoms and ergonomic risk factors at a Hi-Tech company in Israel. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*. 2005;35(6):569-81.
14. Mavrovounis G, Meling TR, Lafuente J, Fountas KN, Demetriades AK. Postural ergonomics and work-related musculoskeletal disorders in neurosurgery: lessons from an international survey. 2021;163(6):1541-52. doi: 10.1007/s00701-021-04722-5. PubMed PMID: 33594483.

15. Okezue OC, Anamezie TH, Nene JJ, Okwudili JD. Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Office Workers in Higher Education Institutions: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*. 2020;30(5):715-24. Epub 2021/04/30. doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v30i5.10. PubMed PMID: 33911832; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8047279.
16. Barnard E, Sheaffer K, Hampton S, Measel ML, Farag A, Shaw C. Ergonomics and Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: Characteristics Among Female Interventionists. *Cureus*. 2021;13(9):e18226. Epub 2021/11/02. doi: 10.7759/cureus.18226. PubMed PMID: 34722032; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8544652.
17. Singla D, Veqar Z, Hussain ME. Photogrammetric assessment of upper body posture using postural angles: a literature review. *Journal of chiropractic medicine*. 2017;16(2):131-8.
18. Hürer C, Angın E, Tüzün EH. Effectiveness of clinical Pilates and home exercises in sagittal cervical disorientation: randomized controlled study. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research*. 2021;10(5):365-80.
19. Van Niekerk S-M, Louw Q, Vaughan C, Grimmer-Somers K, Schreve K. Photographic measurement of upper-body sitting posture of high school students: a reliability and validity study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2008;9(1):1-11.
20. Ruivo R, Pezarat-Correia P, Carita A, editors. Relationships Between Sagittal Postures Of Cervical Spine and Shoulder and Presence of Neck and Shoulder Pain in Adolescents. *peds*; 2013.
21. Lewis JS, Wright C, Green A. Subacromial impingement syndrome: the effect of changing posture on shoulder range of movement. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 2005;35(2):72-87.
22. Saad KR, Colombo AS, João SMA. Reliability and validity of the photogrammetry for scoliosis evaluation: a cross-sectional prospective study. *Journal of manipulative and physiological therapeutics*. 2009;32(6):423-30.
23. Dworkin B, Miller NE, Dworkin S, Birbaumer N, Brines ML, Jonas S, et al. Behavioral method for the treatment of idiopathic scoliosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1985;82(8):2493-7.
24. Kreuzfeld S, Seibt R, Kumar M, Rieger A, Stoll R. German version of the Cornell Musculoskeletal Discomfort Questionnaire (CMDQ): translation and validation. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*. 2016;11:1-12.
25. Thigpen CA, Padua DA, Michener LA, Guskiewicz K, Giuliani C, Keener JD, et al. Head and shoulder posture affect scapular mechanics and muscle activity in overhead tasks. *Journal of Electromyography and kinesiology*. 2010;20(4):701-9.
26. Cagnie B, Danneels L, Van Tiggelen D, De Loose V, Cambier D. Individual and work related risk factors for neck pain among office workers: a cross sectional study. *European Spine Journal*. 2007;16:679-86.
27. Lee H-j. Neck pain and functioning in daily activities associated with smartphone usage. *The Journal of Korean physical therapy*. 2016;28(3):183-8.
28. Öztürk F. Pilates method as a kind of mind body practice: women practitioners' opinions on their physical and psychological wellbeing. 2019.
29. Kang J-H, Park R-Y, Lee S-J, Kim J-Y, Yoon S-R, Jung K-I. The effect of the forward head posture on postural balance in long time computer based worker. *Annals of rehabilitation medicine*. 2012;36(1):98-104.
30. Bint-E-Siddiq MA. Mechanical Characterisation and Computational Modelling of Spinal Ligaments: The University of Leeds; 2019.
31. Kim M-K, Lee JC, Yoo K-T. The effects of shoulder stabilization exercises and thoracic minor stretching on balance and maximal shoulder muscle strength of healthy young adults with round shoulder posture. *Journal of physical therapy science*. 2018;30(3):373-80.
32. Stemper BD, Corner BD. Whiplash-associated disorders: occupant kinematics and neck morphology. *Journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy*. 2016;46(10):834-44.
33. Muscolino J. Upper crossed syndrome. *Journal of the Australian Traditional-Medicine Society*. 2015;21(2).
34. Kibler WB, CHANDLER TJ, STRACENER ES. 4: musculoskeletal adaptations and injuries due to overtraining. *Exercise and sport sciences reviews*. 1992;20(1):99-126.

35. Neupane S, Ali U, Mathew A. Text neck syndrome-systematic review. Imperial journal of interdisciplinary research. 2017;3(7):141-8.
36. Valachi B, Valachi K. Preventing musculoskeletal disorders in clinical dentistry: strategies to address the mechanisms leading to musculoskeletal disorders. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2003;134(12):1604-12.

In Press